



# Westonbirt, The National Arboretum

Forest (Arboretum) Design Plan 2021-2030



### Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
- 3. Purpose of the Plan
- 4. Site Description
- 5. Arboretum Management
- 6. Woodland Management
- 7. Coppice Restoration and Management
- 8. Downland Management
- 9. Site Infrastructure
- 10. Site Development and Future Master Planning
- 11. Scientific Study
- 12. Recreation, Participation and Learning
- 13. Designation
- 14. Threats
- 15. UK Woodland Assurance Scheme (UKWAS) Compliance Table
- 16. Duration and Review

### Maps

- 1. General Location
- 2. Historic England Designated Landscape
- 3. Aerial Site View
- 4. Access and Recreational Infrastructure
- 5. Major Landscape Components
- 6. Site Analysis Arboretum
- 7. Site Analysis Woodland
- 8. Site Analysis Downs
- 9. Site Analysis Development and Future Master Planning
- 10. Lidar (Light Detection and Ranging) Terrestrial and Tree Canopy

## **Appendices**

- 1. Forestry England Thinning Licence 2020-2030
- 2. Silk Wood Woodland Management Plan
- 3. Silk Wood Woodland Compartment Map
- 4. Silk Wood Felling Proposals Map
- 5. Silk Wood Simplified Felling Proposals Map
- 6. Silk Wood Plan of Operations
- 7. Silk Wood Certificate of Approval for Woodland Tree Felling (ref. 1171)
- 8. Coppice Restoration and Management Plan
- 9. Westonbirt 10-year Vision 'Our Place in a Changing World' (2019-2029)
- 10. Westonbirt Statement of Significance
- 11. Local Westonbirt Policy Structure for Arboretum Management

#### 1. Introduction

Forest Plans are intended to set a long-term direction for sustainable land management activities, balancing social, environmental and economic objectives. This plan covers the management and intended use of all land within the National Arboretum, an internationally important collection of trees and landscape, now managed by Forestry England (part of the Forestry Commission and the wider Defra group).

#### 2. Background

"...the wonderful collection of trees and shrubs for which Weston Birt is so famous in the botanical world, were formed by Mr Holford . . . and will be a lasting memorial, not only of his wide knowledge, but also of his almost unerring taste as a landscape gardener."

(from R S Holford's obituary in: Wilts and Gloucestershire Standard 27<sup>th</sup> February 1892)

In 2003, Nicholas Pearson Associates Ltd, environmental planners and landscape architects, produced a comprehensive historic landscape survey of, and restoration plan for, the original Westonbirt Estate. The Westonbirt Arboretum and Gardens Historic Landscape Survey and Restoration Plan drew on a wide range of primary and secondary sources. In 2004, a valuable, additional source came to light, namely a notebook by Robert Stayner Holford (1808-1892), instigator of the arboretum. Besides providing confirmation of key dates, the notebook detailed the original routes into, and around, the arboretum. This information added substantially to the understanding and appreciation of the development of the arboretum.

In 2005, the Forestry Commission took the historic survey and restoration plan a logical step further and considered it as the basis of its own, more detailed, landscape plan. The 2003 restoration plan had already made reference to the 'historic design character' of the estate. The arboretum at Westonbirt is indeed more than a 'mere' collection of trees and shrubs - its actual *layout*, or design, has its own merits. Westonbirt is proof of R S Holford's 'almost unerring taste as a landscape gardener' (this is taste in the sense of style). The Forestry Commission appreciated that R S Holford employed a particular style in his planting, and that the appeal of the present arboretum lays in recognizing and updated in 2011, and adds further insight into the wider Westonbirt estate and Holford legacy.

The **Westonbirt Arboretum Landscape Plan** starts off by explaining the original planting style adhered to by R S Holford - a style that had strong links with the picturesque style as advocated by the landscape gardener William Sawrey Gilpin (1761/2-1843) and his mentor, the author and Herefordshire landowner, Sir Uvedale Price (1747-1829).

The landscape plan then identifies seven distinct landscape types (or 'landscape characters') that occur in the original arboretum. Holford's 'picturesque planting style' applies in particular to five of the seven landscape types, with the remaining two types being either formal or functional plantings. Ways are suggested, according to landscape

type, of maintaining and developing these various areas, in keeping with R S Holford's original intention.

The seven Westonbirt landscape types are defined as follows:

- 1. Original plantation within which are created open glades with informal ornamental planting
- 2. Informal ornamental planting along the rides and walks, that cut through the original Silk Wood, Down Covert and Down Plantation (creating so-called 'ribbon planting')
- 3. Specific clump and specimen planting *within* original arboretum boundaries
- 4. Specific clump and specimen planting on open down
- 5. Woodland cover
- 6. Shelterbelt
- 7. Formal avenue planting

According to W S Gilpin, the celebrated artist and landscape gardener, the guiding principles of landscape design can be grouped under: *variety, intricacy* and *connection*. These remain the key elements that lay at the heart of the picturesque style of gardening at Westonbirt, both for today and in the future.

Crucially, the arboretum was passed to the government in lieu of death duties in 1956, at which point the Forestry Commission were charged with managing Westonbirt on behalf of the nation. The Forestry Commission is the government department responsible for protecting, expanding and promoting the sustainable management of woodlands, while increasing their value to society and the environment. Since this time, the arboretum has been developed as an important recreational and educational centre.

Of paramount importance is always how the arboretum and its landscape is used and enjoyed by people, both for today and in the future. Management objectives are naturally varied, but at heart should always be the need to provide essential social benefits, such as wellbeing, health, volunteering, engagement, learning and a rewarding visitor experience.

#### 3. Purpose of the Plan

This plan will confirm the methods of land management required to support the stated objectives within '*Our Place in a Changing World*' - a 10-year vision for Westonbirt, The National Arboretum (2019-2029). The Westonbirt Strategic Operational Plan will contain more detail in terms of how each these objectives and associated key commitments will be met, and this plan sets out the required land management to achieve these wide-reaching aims and objectives.

Put quite simply, the overall aim of the arboretum is to achieve stated Our Mission, which is **"to connect people with trees, to improve the quality of life"**.

Critically, this plan must enable us to both manage the arboretum appropriately, and engage people fully in our management processes and with the collection more broadly. For specific engagement plans and projects, please refer to the **Engagement Strategy**, **Community Strategy**, **Diversity and Inclusion Strategy**, **Volunteer Strategy**, **Interpretation and Arts Strategy**, and **Education Strategy**.

#### 4. Site Description

The overall land area of Westonbirt, The National Arboretum was 240ha, but with the acquisition of neighbouring land in 2019, this has now increased to a total of 253ha.

| Description             | Description   | Management Implications  | Proposals   |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|
| Location                | Gloucestershire, about 3<br>miles south-west of<br>Tetbury. Main focuses of<br>population within 30<br>miles - Bristol, Bath,<br>Swindon, Cheltenham<br>and Gloucester (refer<br>Map 1).                      | Popular attraction within<br>a 1-hour drive of large<br>urban population.<br>Large seasonal influx of<br>visitors contrasts with<br>rural location.                                      | Plan aims to maintain<br>and develop the<br>'picturesque' landscape<br>and infrastructure within<br>a Cotswolds setting.  |
| Tenure                  | Forestry England<br>(Forestry Commission)<br>freehold.  | Full control over access<br>except public rights of<br>way (PROWs). Not to be<br>dedicated under<br>Countryside Rights of<br>Way (CROW) legislation<br>since a pay-to-enter<br>facility. | Development of newly<br>acquired land to be<br>decided as part of<br>ongoing overall Master<br>Planning exercise and<br>consultation.   |
| Physical<br>environment | 130m altitude, prevailing<br>SW wind from Bristol<br>Channel. Rainfall c.<br>850mm. Climatic Zone 7.<br>Soils vary from acid<br>sandstone-derived loam<br>of Atrim series to<br>shallow oolitic<br>limestone. | Soil variability increases<br>range of exotic plants<br>able to grow. Moderate<br>exposure means tender<br>exotics require shelter.  | Planting to take account<br>of edaphic and other<br>physical variability.<br>Shelter planting to be<br>planned and maintained.<br>Character of historic<br>shelterbelts to be<br>conserved. |
| Landscape<br>setting    | Wholly inside Cotswolds<br>AONB. Close to busy<br>A433. Relatively flat<br>area on Cotswold<br>plateau.   | Views of arboretum from<br>surrounding areas tend<br>to be oblique.<br>Prominent view from<br>A433.  | Avoid operations that<br>result in sudden or long-<br>term degradation of<br>inward views. Maintain<br>quality of view from<br>A433 (shop window).  |

#### 5. Arboretum Management (refer map 6)

The site comprises 107ha arboretum, which encompasses areas in both the Old Arboretum and Silk Wood.

The area now designated as arboretum developed from 1830's through to late C19, and extended into the ancient woodland of Silk Wood from 1875, with further expansion from 1960's. The combination of landscape quality and botanical diversity make it one of the finest tree collections in the world, and most of the original layout of planting remains along with many of the original trees. Sensitive management is required to preserve historical features and ensure sustainable long-term landscape quality.

Like any other large botanic garden, ongoing maintenance and development will involve the removal of certain trees, however, the regular addition of between 100 to 250 healthy new specimen plants every year, will ensure that future generations can enjoy the living botanical collection and historic landscape.

Arboretum areas are divided into numbered sections for remedial management purposes (from Section 1 to Section 61), with the aim of a 5-year cycle to pay close attention to all the botanical specimens within approximately 11 sections per annum. Individual plant health care needs will be met and appropriate arboricultural works undertaken within each section, whilst at the same time maintaining the historically significant and picturesque landscape. No large-scale felling is planned, rather just the removal (thinning) of trees in poor health or for aesthetic/landscape reasons.

Westonbirt works collaboratively with both a national and international network of partner organisations and institutions, including many acclaimed arboreta and botanic gardens, as well as adhering to recognised standards such as the Darwin Technical Manual for Botanical Gardens. A range of corporate membership with active participation is held, which includes Botanic Gardens Conservation International (BGCI), International Plant Sentinel Network (IPSN), UK Botanic Garden and Arboreta Collections Consortium (UKBGACC), and the Arboricultural Association (AA). Westonbirt has attained and continues to maintain the very highest accreditation with ArbNet, at Level IV. ArbNet is an interactive, collaborative, international community of arboreta and tree-focused professionals, which facilitates the sharing of knowledge, experience, and other resources to help arboreta meet their institutional goals and works to raise professional standards through the ArbNet Arboretum Accreditation Program. Level IV arboreta are all world-renowned and highly regarded tree-focused institutions.

#### 5.1 Species Composition

Mixed exotic coniferous and deciduous trees and shrubs from across the temperate world, the living collection usually contains a constant of approx. 15,000 botanical specimen plants, many of which are rare and endangered in the wild. The living collection includes over 2,700 taxa (species, sub-species, varieties and cultivars), and over 700 threatened plants with a conservation status. Every specimen plant is individually numbered and mapped, with precise plant records held within our IrisBG botanical database. IrisBG is one of the most comprehensive integrated software solutions available, which has been specifically designed as a complete collection management system for botanical gardens.

Our botanical plant records are also available to be interrogated on-line, by both professionals and the general public alike, via our Arboretum Explorer facility: <a href="https://westonbirt.arboretumexplorer.org/">https://westonbirt.arboretumexplorer.org/</a>.

#### 5.2 Thinning Operations

It is intended that all the numbered sections with areas designated as arboretum, will be visited formally for remedial work ('gardening on a grand scale') twice within the period of this 10-year plan (refer Map 6).

Other operations involving removal (thinning) will result due to tree safety inspections or tree work that arises due to health and safety concerns. As and when required, the encroachment of woodland trees and vegetation will be thinned/cut back to ensure that botanical specimens are not supressed. Felling does not take place to produce timber, but any felled trees will be utilised either on site in the first instance or sold in line with Forestry England guidance.

#### 5.3 Jackson Avenue

The important historic feature of Jackson Avenue in the Old Arboretum (see Map 6) will need major restoration due to its age and declining condition, including felling of mature moribund avenue trees and replanting with suitable botanical specimens, most likely during the period covered by this plan. A separate Avenue Management Plan will be written and consulted upon with visitors, stakeholders and any interested parties in due course, with the intention that the avenue can be restored to mark the bicentenary of the arboretum in 2029. This will be used as an opportunity to engage visitors and the local community with our landscape management, and hopefully provide opportunities for participation in some way.

#### 5.4 General Proposals

| Description  | Management Implications   | Proposals  |
|--|---|--|
| Arboretum - Old Arboretum,<br>Silk Wood arboretum areas.<br>Old Arboretum developed from<br>1830s through to late C19.<br>Extended into ancient<br>woodland of Silk Wood from<br>1875. Further FC expansion<br>1960s to the present day. | Sensitive management<br>required to preserve historical<br>features and ensure<br>sustainable long-term<br>landscape quality.<br>Ensure that open spaces are<br>retained, without<br>inappropriate in-fill planting,<br>as they are an essential part | Continue to research<br>chronology and layout of<br>original Holford planting.<br>Adherence to the original 19 <sup>th</sup><br>century planting style with new<br>planting. Where appropriate,<br>the use of plants cloned from<br>originals. |
| The combination of landscape<br>quality and botanical diversity<br>make it one of the finest tree<br>collections in the country and<br>internationally important.<br>Most of the original layout of                                      | of the designed landscape.<br>Ensure the safety of everyone<br>on site through appropriate<br>and well-informed<br>management of trees.   | restore or maintain original<br>glade and ride structure.<br>Refer to collection and tree<br>management policies and<br>landscape plan.  |
| The layout of paths and rides is<br>of particular importance, both<br>as the framework for the<br>landscape and as visitor access  | Ensure landscape<br>management and new<br>planting facilitates<br>interpretation and<br>engagement, looking at<br>sensory planting beyond the   | Develop education<br>programmes/placements and<br>other engagement activities, to<br>forge closer links and raise<br>profile of landscape planning.  |
| routes.  | visual elements of picturesque<br>landscape where possible.<br>Develop opportunities to<br>enable more people to engage<br>with arboretum management<br>on a practical level, and<br>increase understanding.  | Potentially develop further<br>upgrading of path surfaces to<br>hard paths through Silk Wood to<br>provide more inclusive access.<br>Re-development of<br>interpretation in the<br>Propagation Unit.   |
|  |   | Continue to offer student<br>arborist work-based<br>placements.  |

#### 6. Woodland Management (refer map 7)

The site comprises 61ha ancient semi-natural woodland.

The woodland is made up of ancient semi-natural woodland with small 19<sup>th</sup> Century plantations on the northern fringe.

The National Vegetation Classification (NVC) is one of the key common standards developed for the country nature conservation agencies, and includes tables with Native Woodland Types, where each type comprises major and minor tree and shrub species. The woodland at Westonbirt is primarily classified as type W8 (lowland mixed broadleaved

woodland with dog's mercury), where ash is the major species throughout the range, and field maple is major species locally or in part of range. There are smaller woodland areas of type W10 (lowland mixed broadleaved woodland with bluebell), W14 (beech-oak woodland with bramble) and W12 (beech-ash woodland with dog's mercury).

The woodland generally has a history of active management by coppicing going back to 1292, but this ended in 1930's. Coppicing of 22ha re-commenced in 1979 and is still underway with coppice workers active today (see Section 7. Coppice). Under the previous forest design plan, areas of PAWS (plantations on ancient woodland sites) were restored with conifer felling and allowing natural regeneration, whilst other broadleaf areas were thinned, however, there has been no recent woodland work until 2020.

In 2015, Forest Research colleagues confirmed the presence of Chalara ash dieback, and the decline in the health of woodland ash trees has since been rapid. A new and comprehensive **Woodland Management Plan** (refer Appendix 2) has been written by Forest Research, with various silvicultural management practices identified for each of the woodland compartments in Silk Wood, together with restocking recommendations. This plan has now been formally approved by Forest Services, in order for the required thinning and felling operations to take place.

It is hoped that we will be able to share our experience in managing this disease with other woodland owners and interested parties, and we have also developed an accompanying **Communication Plan** to raise awareness and to tell the story to the wider public. We will develop a Community Woodland area to enable the full involvement of people in replanting, to help tell the wider Silk Wood story and engage people with woodland management in the long term (e.g. through ongoing surveying and practical conservation).

All operations will adhere to the latest industry best practice, and comply with Forestry England guidance and Forest Services regulation, especially **Note 046 'Managing Ash in Woodlands in Light of Ash Dieback'**. The safety of people, including visitors, staff, volunteers, and contractors is obviously a high priority.

#### 6.1 Species Composition

Mixed native broadleaf types: W8; W10; W12; and W14 - as specified under the Native Woodland Types within the National Vegetation Classification (NVC).

#### 6.2 Thinning Operations

Chalara dieback of ash (*Hymenoscyphus fraxineus*) is now extremely wide-spread, and the **Woodland Management Plan** contains comprehensive details regarding planned thinning, felling and restocking operations within the period of this plan. Dead/dying trees that present a direct safety risk to people will be felled within the 61ha of woodland, usually due to close proximity to rides and open glades with high levels of public access. Felling operations will produce timber, and this will be sold at roadside and recorded in line with Forestry England guidance.

#### 6.3 General Proposals

| Description   | Management Implications  | Proposals  |
|---|--|--|
| Woodland in Silk Wood -<br>including plantations.   | Fragmentation of woodland<br>into semi-natural and<br>plantation elements had  | Maintain ancient 'natural'<br>character of Silk Wood<br>including its landscape quality,<br>biodiversity and where   |
| with small 19 <sup>th</sup> Century<br>plantations on northern fringe.  | landscape.   | compatible with other<br>objectives, some productivity.  |
| (ash/maple) woodland with<br>smaller areas of W10, W14 and<br>W12. The woodland has a<br>history of active management<br>(coppicing) going back to 1292.<br>This ended in 1930s.        | has left legacy of 'outgrown'<br>canopy with impoverished<br>under-storey.<br>The ancient semi-natural<br>character makes the<br>biodiversity value of greater | Maintain high levels of dead<br>wood wherever compatible with<br>safety. In particular, aim to<br>leave minimum 3 standing and 3<br>fallen dead trees per ha. Also,<br>dead limbs over 15cm<br>diameter. |
| The woodland is of<br>considerable wildlife value with<br>an impressive list of flora and<br>fungi recorded. Much of this<br>ecology relies on the network<br>of open rides and glades. | areas of the arboretum. This<br>contribution to biodiversity<br>makes sensitive management<br>a priority.  | Adopt management systems in<br>response to the woodland cover<br>present to best meet arboretum<br>objectives. Where practical,<br>silviculture should reflect   |
| <ul> <li>Parts of area now plantation or arboretum due to:</li> <li>Expansion of the arboretum between 1875 and 1881</li> </ul>   | Management of open areas is<br>of great importance,<br>particularly sward<br>management by mowing and<br>ride-edge maintenance.                                | historical precedents and<br>provide exemplars of good<br>management for interpretation,<br>training and other purposes.   |
| <ul> <li>along main drives.</li> <li>Expansion of the arboretum since 1956.</li> <li>Various Forest Research plots developed during</li> </ul>  | Ensure the safety of everyone<br>on site through management<br>of trees.   | Refer Westonbirt <b>Woodland</b><br>Management Plan, and<br>Coppice Restoration &<br>Management Plan.  |
| <ul> <li>1960s to 1980s.</li> <li>Attempted commercial coniferisation in northern half during 1960s - now</li> </ul>  | Engage people in the Silk<br>Wood Ash Project through<br>engagement, interpretation,<br>and volunteer opportunities.   | Refer to the <b>Communication</b><br>Plan and <b>Community Woodland</b><br>Plan.   |
| removed.  | Improve accessibility through<br>a section of native woodland,<br>to enable participation and to<br>raise the profile of native                                | Develop a sensitive boardwalk<br>through the community<br>woodland area, for accessibility<br>and increased participation.   |
|   | woodland.  | Develop biological and social science opportunities, through university and other links.   |

#### 7. Coppice Restoration and Management (refer map 7)

The site comprises 22ha of actively coppiced coups, with a hazel (*Corylus avellana*) understory and common oak (*Quercus robur*) standard over-storey.

This coppice needs to be economically self-sustaining to be viable, and this is best achieved by short rotation (6 to 8 year) hazel and 15 to 20 year fuelwood coppice. Other arboretum objectives require that the short rotation hazel is managed as coppice-with-standards rather than simple coppice. Thinning of the oak standards is continuous in order to reduce and maintain a canopy cover to no more than 20%. The **Coppice Restoration and Management Plan** contains more in-depth detail, and it is also referred to in the afore-mentioned approved **Woodland Management Plan**.

#### 7.1 Coppice Objectives

The coppice programme has a number of objectives which are interdependent, and their various needs have to be balanced within the management plan:

- 1. Economic to provide a sufficient income for the number of workers needed to manage the coppiced areas.
- 2. Landscape to provide continuity with the traditional landscape.
- 3. Biodiversity to support the rich flora and fauna associated with coppice-with standards.
- 4. Heritage to provide opportunities for the interpretation of the historical forms of management practiced in Silk Wood.
- 5. Training to provide the venue and materials for training in coppicing and related woodland crafts.
- 6. Community to provide meaningful activities for groups engaged in the arboretum's community engagement and volunteering programmes, which aims to build participants' self-reliance and esteem and widen access/inclusion.

#### 7.2 Species Composition

Mixed native deciduous trees, predominantly hazel (*Corylus avellana*) and common oak (*Quercus robur*).

#### 7.3 Thinning Operations

Cutting of hazel stools within coups on rotation and general thinning of oak standards. Dead/dying ash trees will be thinned/removed where they are considered to present a health and safety risk. Standard trees will be replaced through selection of appropriate natural regeneration and protection with tree shelters against mammal damage.

#### 8. Downland Management (refer map 8)

The site comprises 34ha of open downland, and this may be divided into unimproved (biodiverse) and improved grassland.

The Downs contain a number of old trees, some 19<sup>th</sup> C. exotic ornamentals, others preparkland relic hedgerow trees. The age profile of these trees is weighted towards the mature and over-mature end of the age scale, and we aim to ensure long-term ornamental tree cover on the Downs, by retaining veterans and planting a limited number of replacements as needed. The **Westonbirt Flora & Sward Management Plan** has been developed over many years, in association with Forest Research and expert botanists, as well as Flora Group volunteers and Fauna Group volunteers, who undertake the monitoring and surveying of key management species.

#### 8.1 Operations

All trees and shrubs within these areas are considered as botanical specimens, and as such will therefore be managed in line with other areas designated as arboretum.

#### 8.2 General Proposals

#### 9. Site Infrastructure

The site comprises 9ha of infrastructure, including staff houses, buildings and car parks. Any trees and shrubs within these areas are considered as botanical specimens, and as such will therefore be managed in line with other areas designated as arboretum.

#### 10. Site Development and Future Master Planning (refer map 9)

The site has undergone significant development of infrastructure in the previous decade, aimed at improving the sense of arrival and visitor experience, with the addition of a new Welcome Building, Treetop Walkway, the relocation of the visitor car park and restoration of the former parking area on the Downs. Staff facilities have also been greatly improved with the addition of a new Tree Management Centre, where operational teams are based.

However, further progress is needed to ensure that our facilities befit an internationally important arboretum and visitor attraction. The unexpected opportunity to acquire 13ha of neighbouring land and the accompanying Silk Wood House presented itself in recent years, and the subsequent purchase was undertaken jointly by Forestry England and the Friends of Westonbirt Arboretum charity.

The key areas where potential development can be considered and undertaken are not within areas designated as arboretum, woodland or downland. Instead, they are the central office hub and learning zone, the area in and around The Sleights houses, and now the acquired adjoining land and Silk Wood House property. We are yet to decide exactly how these areas are to be developed as identified on Map 9, and any future development will be subject to an ongoing master planning exercise and appropriate stakeholder consultation and engagement.

In particular, The Silk Wood House and accompanying land purchase offers a rare and exciting opportunity for new development, as well as improving our ability to better tell stories, and possibly tell different stories outside the confines of the historic grade one listed landscape. Naturally the development in whatever shape and form will be tree related, and provide amazing engagement tools, as well as having a practical, scientific or conservation purpose.

To date all our submitted planning applications have been approved, due to the sensitive nature of our developments and our appreciation of the landscape, and this theme will continue.

#### 11. Scientific Study

The site comprises 7ha of formal forest trial plots, which are managed by Forest Research.

All trees within these plots are currently part of the European REINFFORCE (Resource INFrastructures for monitoring, adapting and protecting European Atlantic FORests under Changing climatE) Interreg Project. The REINFFORCE project pools the capacity of 12 forest organizations and research institutes along four countries (Portugal, Spain, France, and United Kingdom) to face the transnational issue of the adaptation to climate change

impacts on Atlantic forests. The trees within these plots were planted from 2012 onwards, and the only operational activity planned will be vegetation management, restocking of young trees, and removal of any dead/dying trees in line with ongoing project objectives. We would like to open-up the trial plots to the public at some point, with interpretation on climate change and relevant research. We will also consider providing better access with a low-key woodchip path through some of the old Douglas fir trial plots in Silk Wood, as a way to engage visitors in forestry trials.

However, the entire Westonbirt site is continually used as an essential scientific reference and valuable resource by a wide variety of research organisations, institutions and educational establishments. A vital role of Westonbirt, both today and in the future, is to enable scientific study and research using our trees, everything associated with these trees, and crucially our meticulous plant records, detailed mapping and archive material.

We will continue to grow our understanding of the entire Westonbirt site through various means and collaboration with partners, including as Forest Research. Examples of this are recent soil analysis and surveying, and Lidar (Light Detection and Ranging) terrestrial and tree canopy mapping (see Map 10).

There is significant opportunity to further link scientific activities with our Learning & Participation Team activities, by working with universities to support student dissertations, MSCs and PHDs, as well as potentially offering placements to encourage the next generation into environmental and other related career paths. We will look to develop our Science and Research Strategy in conjunction with future site development, to not only facilitate varied and wide ranging on-site scientific study and research, but also to better offer potential opportunities for engagement.

#### 12. Recreation, Participation and Learning (refer map 4)

Westonbirt's popularity continues to grow and the site attracts approx. 550,000 visits per annum, including events, whilst the Friends of Westonbirt Arboretum charity has an increasing membership of approx. 36,000.

Our continuing popularity and the number of visitors we welcome each year, provides a unique opportunity to engage people on the importance of trees and the environment to society, and also on a more personal level. Far from being something carried out by 'tree management experts' in isolation, management of the landscape can be done in a manner that engages people fully; enabling them to participate actively in the process through consultation, interpretation and volunteering, so that the decisions we make are made in partnership with the public (wherever they may be) and as befits our National status.

The site comprises several miles of hard paths, woodchip tracks and grass rides. Encroachment of vegetation will generally be managed by felling or pruning back to maintain access. Woodland rides are managed for access, but also to increase habitat diversity, and the present network of open rides will be managed in line with our underpinning management plans to encourage rich native flora and fauna.

#### 12.1 General Proposals

| Description   | Management Implications  | Proposals   |
|---|--|---|
| Popular visitor venue of local<br>and regional significance,<br>attracting over 550,000 visits<br>per year.   | Visitors provide the main<br>source of income by charge<br>for entry, in addition to an<br>annual grant from FOWA in<br>ley of an entry charge.  | Principles of economic, social<br>and environmental sustainability<br>to govern all areas of<br>management.   |
| The arboretum's rich history<br>and botanical diversity make it<br>a unique cultural resource. It<br>is highly valued for its<br>aesthetic qualities of<br>tranquility, beauty, calm etc. | Sensitive development of<br>visitor facilities, events<br>programme and<br>interpretation is essential to<br>maximise benefits without<br>detracting from the<br>arboretum's values and in | Continue to develop events<br>programme to utilise the<br>arboretum's potential to connect<br>people with trees via artistic,<br>musical and cultural events,<br>whilst having due regard to the<br>arboretum's unique environment. |
| 'shop window' and 'flagship'<br>for Forestry England and its<br>work more widely.   | line with Forestry England's<br>recreation matrix.<br>Possible conflicts between<br>diverse objectives.  | Develop regular practical<br>volunteering to: (a) provide<br>progression for young people; (b)<br>provide a green gym and social<br>prescribing offer.  |
| Arboretum has become one of<br>the largest bodies of its kind in<br>the country, providing a<br>valuable conduit for public<br>involvement and support for<br>the arboretum.              | There remains a large<br>potential for developing the<br>arboretum's value for<br>education, health and well-<br>being promotion.  | Develop plans for a new<br>engagement 'hub' for scientific<br>exploration, engagement with<br>visitors in real decision making,<br>and interpretation regarding<br>arboretum management and work                                    |
| A number of events and courses<br>take place related to trees and<br>wood.  | Greater public involvement<br>in management operations,<br>through advanced<br>consultation, discussion and<br>events.   | in action.<br>Build workshop programme to<br>include more rural skills - joined<br>up programming with Westonbirt<br>Woodworks and the resident<br>woodland coppicers.  |
|   |  | Refer recreational, learning and participation plans and policies.  |
|   |  | Set up regular joint working<br>group between engagement and<br>tree teams for discussion on<br>collections development, e.g.<br>planting to consider positioning,<br>species selection to ensure<br>inclusion and access for all.  |

#### 12.2 Rights of Way

Westonbirt is a pay-to-enter visitor attraction and is exempted from dedication under CROW (The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000). However, all operations will take account of the public rights of way that cross the site (see Map 4), and clear PRoW (Public Rights of Way) signage will be maintained and inspected at regular intervals.

#### 13. Conservation

The current **Westonbirt Conservation Management Plan** was prepared by consultants for the whole Westonbirt Estate, and with input from all the modern-day estate owners. It was written in 2009 and updated in 2011, and this plan is due to be formally reviewed in 2021. The plan is intended to provide a clear direction for the conservation and management of the registered Westonbirt estate, as a scientific tree collection, heritage estate, ecologically valuable habitat and as a greatly appreciated landscape.

The arboretum site has two distinct conservation roles:

- Engaging people and communities intellectually, emotionally and practically with local and global issues, relating to conservation of tree species and forest habitats, to support personal and societal change fulfilling Global Strategy for Plant Conservation target 14, UN Sustainable Development Goals, DEFRA 25 Year Environment Plan etc.
- Conservation of rare species and habitats: contribution to national and local biodiversity by management of lowland woodland and calcareous grassland.

All forestry and arboricultural operations will take account of issues of biodiversity and habitat conservation, ensuring the monitoring of important habitats for nature conservation. We will work in co-operation with other bodies to record and monitor flora, fauna and fungi. We will seek to identify important species and habitats, and continue to refine management processes and work methods to enhance biodiversity. The newly proposed and soon to be established role of Wildlife & Conservation Ranger will be tasked with overseeing much of this work.

#### 14. Designation (refer map 2)

Westonbirt is registered as Grade One with The Historic England 'Register of Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest in England', for its historical significance.

The Forestry England estate covers only part of the Grade One designated landscape, and a coherent management of the whole historical landscape requires co-operation between various owners. Regular dialogue with other estate owners will be maintained to cooperate in the development of landscape preservation projects. The **Statement of Significance** is a joint statement that has been previously agreed by all estate owners.

#### 15. Threats

Our woodland, living botanic collection and historic landscape, and ultimately our ability to attract visitors, are all under threat from climate change and pests and diseases, both present and emerging. The advent of Chalara ash dieback is an example of how devasting the impact of a disease can be to our trees. Our highly skilled and well-trained staff undergo continual professional development, to ensure that we maintain awareness and constantly develop knowledge. Regular site inspections are carried out in order to detect the first sign of any potential issues, and we work closely with colleagues at Forest

Research, and in particular the Tree Health & Diagnostic Advisory Service (THDAS). Westonbirt is a member of the International Plant Sentinel Network (IPSN), which has been developed to facilitate collaboration amongst institutes around the world, with a focus on linking botanic gardens and arboreta, and plant health scientists. The aim will be for these institutes to work together in order to provide an early warning system of new and emerging pest and pathogen risks.

#### 16. UK Woodland Assurance Scheme (UKWAS) Compliance Table

|  | Forest Plan | Forest Plan | Forest District | Forest District |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|  | Area (ha)   | Percentage  | Area (ha)       | Percentage      |
| Total area   | 253         | 100%        | 253             | 100%            |
| Total Wooded area  | 83          | 33%         | 83              | 33%             |
| Natural Reserves -<br>Plantation   | 0           | 0%          | 0               | 0%              |
| Natural Reserves - Semi-<br>Natural  | 253         | 100%        | 253             | 100%            |
| Long-term Retentions and<br>Low Impact Silvicultural<br>Systems (>1%)              | 83          | 33%         | 83              | 33%             |
| Woodland - Area of<br>conservation value (>15%)<br>including designations:<br>ASNW | 61          | 24%         | 61              | 24%             |
| Woodland - Coppice area  | 22          | <b>9</b> %  | 22              | <b>9</b> %      |
| Arboretum area   | 107         | 42%         | 107             | 42%             |
| Number of botanical<br>specimen plants within<br>Arboretum area                    | 15,000      | 100%        | 15,000          | 100%            |
| Downland area  | 34          | 13%         | 34              | 13%             |
| Forest Research Trial Plots  | 7           | 3%          | 7               | 3%              |
| Site Infrastructure area   | 9           | 4%          | 9               | 4%              |
| New Acquisition of<br>neighbouring land (Silk<br>Wood House)                       | 13          | 5%          | 13              | 5%              |

#### 17. Duration and Review

This forest design plan was written in 2021 and the term of the plan will be a 10-year period until 2030. It may be reviewed at any time in accordance with increased knowledge and/or changing circumstances. However, a formal mid-term review will take place after 5 years, principally by the Curator and Arboretum Director, and involving other parties as appropriate and needed.

Edits and revisions will be made as required and logged below.

| Date | Chapter/Section | Comment | Initials |
|------|-----------------|---------|----------|
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |
|      |                 |         |          |

This forest design plan supersedes all previous forest design plans written before 2021.

Where formal Forestry England and Westonbirt plans, policies and guidance are referred to, these are noted in **bold text**.



6

3

0

12 Kilometers



## Map 1 - Westonbirt Arboretum location



Forestry England forests and woodlands have been certified in accordance with the UK Woodland Assurance Standard (UKWAS)



#### (c) Crown Copyright Ordnance Survey





# Map 2 - Historic England designated landscape

----- Owned by Forestry England Grade 1 Listed, Historic England



Forestry England forests and woodlands have been certified in accordance with the UK Woodland Assurance Standard (UKWAS)



### (c) Crown Copyright Ordnance Survey





## Map 3 - Aerial site view



The mark of responsible forestry







The mark of responsible forestry





















## Map 7 - Site Analysis Woodland











## Map 8 - Site Analysis Downs



The mark of responsible forestry



# **Office** Area

# Silkwood house, back of Sleights & fields



## Map 9 - Future Development Areas



The mark of responsible forestry











## Map 10 - Lidar



The mark of responsible forestry





To James Simpson Director of Operations Forestry England Bristol Business Park 620 Coldharbour Lane Bristol BS16 1EJ Forestry Commission Bristol Business Park 620 Coldharbour Lane Bristol BS16 1EJ

Tel: 0300 067 4000

30 January 2020

Dear James Simpson, Cc. Neville Geddes

#### Reference: FL-FE-THIN-2020-30

#### Forestry England 10 year thinning licence 2020 - 2030

I hereby authorise a sustainable programme of thinning<sup>1</sup> within the Forestry England Estate across England during a 10 year period commencing from the **31<sup>st</sup> January 2020**.

All statutory designations, including Tree Preservation Orders must be identified on land subject to this licence, and the necessary consents, licences or permissions obtained from the appropriate statutory body prior to any proposed felling works.

Yours sincerely,

Richard Greenhous Director of Forest Services

<sup>1</sup> Refer to PDN2 for FS' definition of thinning – See Roots page 'Forest Plans'

Protecting and expanding England's forests and woodlands, and increasing their value to society and the environment.

www.gov.uk/forestrycommission



# Woodland Management Plan

| To be completed by the plan author:                                      |                                  |     |  |
|--|----------------------------------|-----|--|
| Woodland or Property<br>name   | Westonbirt Arboretum – Silk Wood |     |  |
| Woodland Management<br>Plan case reference                               | 1171                             |     |  |
| The landowner agrees this plan as a statement of intent for the woodland |                                  | Yes |  |
| Plan author name Chris Reynolds – Forest Research                        |                                  |     |  |

| For FC Use only:                      |          |           |          |           |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Plan Period                           | Approval | 9/12/2020 | Approved | 9/12/2030 |
| <mark>(dd/mm/yyyy - Ten years)</mark> | Date:    | ,,        | until:   | -,,       |
| Five Year Review Date 9/12/2025       |          |           |          |           |

| Revision No. | Date | Status (draft/final) | <b>Reason for Revision</b> |
|--------------|------|----------------------|----------------------------|
|              |      |                      |                            |
|              |      |                      |                            |
|              |      |                      |                            |
|              |      |                      |                            |
|              |      |                      |                            |
|              |      |                      |                            |

#### Template user support:

The functionality in this version of the management plan template has been downgraded to ensure compatibility with Word 2003. This document is not protected and as such rows can be added & deleted or copied and pasted from tables where needed.



#### UK Forestry Standard management planning criteria

Approval of this plan will be considered against the following UKFS criteria. Prior to submission review your plan against the criteria using the check list below.

|   | UKFS management plan criteria  | Minimum approval requirements   | Author<br>check ☑ |
|---|--|---|-------------------|
| 1 | <b>Plan Objectives:</b><br>Forest management plans should state the objectives of management and set out how an appropriate balance between social, economic, and environmental objectives will be achieved.   | <ul> <li>Management plan objectives are stated.</li> <li>Consideration is given to environmental,<br/>economic and social objectives relevant to<br/>the vision for the woodland.</li> </ul>  | Yes/No            |
| 2 | Forest context and important features<br>in management strategy:<br>Forest management plans should address<br>the forest context and the forest potential<br>and demonstrate how the relevant<br>interests and issues have been considered<br>and addressed.   | <ul> <li>Management intentions communicated in Sect. 6 of the management plan are in line with stated objective(s) Sect. 2.</li> <li>Management intentions should take account of:</li> <li>Relevant features and issues identified within the woodland survey (Sect. 4)</li> <li>Any potential threats to and opportunities for the woodland, as identified under woodland protection (Sect. 5).</li> <li>Relevant comments received from stakeholder engagement and documented in Sect. 7.</li> </ul> | Yes/No            |
| 3 | Identification of designations within<br>and surrounding the site:<br>For designated areas, e.g. National Parks<br>or SSSI, account should be taken of<br>landscape and other sensitivities in the<br>design of forests and forest infrastructure.   | <ul> <li>Survey information (<i>Sect. 4</i>) identifies any designations that impact on woodland management.</li> <li>Management intentions (<i>Sect. 6</i>) have taken account of any designations.</li> </ul>   | Yes/No            |
| 4 | Felling and restocking to improve<br>forest structure and diversity:<br>When planning felling and restocking, the<br>design of existing forests should be re-<br>assessed, and any necessary changes<br>made so that they meet UKFS<br>requirements.<br>Forests should be designed to achieve a<br>diverse structure of habitat, species and<br>ages of trees, appropriate to the scale and<br>context.<br>Forests characterised by a lack of<br>diversity, due to extensive areas of even-<br>aged trees, should be progressively<br>restructured to achieve age class range. | <ul> <li>Felling and restocking proposals are consistent with UKFS design principles (for example scale and adjacency).</li> <li>Current diversity (structure, species, age structure) of the woodland has been identified through the survey (<i>Sect. 4</i>).</li> <li>Management intentions aim to improve / maintain current diversity (structure, species, and ages of trees).</li> </ul>  | Yes/No            |
| 5 | <b>Consultation:</b><br>Consultation on forest management plans<br>and proposals should be carried out<br>according to forestry authority procedures<br>and, where required, the Environmental<br>Impact Assessment Regulations.   | <ul> <li>Stakeholder engagement is in line with<br/>current FC guidance and recorded in<br/>Sect. 7. The minimum requirement is for<br/>statutory consultation to take place, and<br/>this will be carried out by the Forestry<br/>Commission.</li> </ul>   | Yes/No            |



|   |  | <ul> <li>Plan authors undertake stakeholder<br/>engagement (ref FC Ops Note 35)<br/>relevant to the context and setting of the<br/>woodland.</li> </ul>               |        |
|---|--|---|--------|
| 6 | <b>Plan Update and Review:</b><br>Management of the forest should conform<br>to the plan, and the plan should be<br>updated to ensure it is current and<br>relevant. | <ul> <li>A 5-year review period is stated on the 1st page of the plan.</li> <li>Sect. 8 is completed with 1 indicator of success per management objective.</li> </ul> | Yes/No |

#### Section 1: Property Details

| Woodland Property Name   |                                 | Silk Wood                      |               |        |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Name   | Mark Ballard - Curator          | Owner                          |               |        |
| Email  | mark.ballard@forestryengland.uk | Contact Number                 | 0300 067 4857 |        |
| Agent Name (if applicable)   |                                 |                                | 1             |        |
| Email  |                                 | Contact Number                 |               |        |
| County   | Gloucestershire                 | Local Authority                | Cotswold Dis  | strict |
| Grid<br>Reference  | ST 8387 8978                    | Single Business<br>Identifier  |               |        |
| What is the total area of this woodland management plan? (In hectares)   |                                 | 149.6 Ha                       |               |        |
| You have included an Inventory and Plan of<br>Operations with this woodland management<br>plan?  |                                 | Yes                            |               |        |
| You have listed the maps associated with this woodland management plan?  |                                 | Yes                            |               |        |
| Do you intend to use the information within this<br>woodland management plan and associated<br>Inventory and Plan of Operations to apply for the<br>following? |                                 | Felling Licence                |               | Yes    |
|  |                                 | Thinning Licence               |               | No     |
|  |                                 | Woodland Regeneration Grant No |               | No     |
| You declare that there is management control of<br>the woodland detailed within the woodland<br>management plan?   |                                 | Yes                            |               |        |
| You agree to make the woodland management plan publicly available?   |                                 | Yes                            |               |        |

#### 3 | Silk Wood WMP | CR - FR 17-09-20 V6



#### **Section 2: Vision and Objectives**

To develop your long-term vision, you need to express as clearly as possible the overall direction of management for the woodland(s) and how you envisage it will be in the future. This covers the duration of the plan and beyond.

#### 2.1 Vision

Describe your long-term vision for the woodland(s). (*Suggest 300 words max*)

To maintain this important woodland within the local landscape through ongoing appropriate silvicultural management based on continuous cover forestry with the understanding that ash as a main species will decrease or fail over time. This will be achieved by maintaining the current coppice program; regular thinning and regeneration of older stands through selective and clear felling.

Strive to maintain a balance of species and age class with ash being conserved where ever possible. Over time this will ensure a healthy woodland capable of tolerating and adapaing to a changing climate and any pest and disease threats.

Forestry operations will be undertaken on a commercial basis to generate income. This income will be reinvested in further works to enhance the conservation and landscape values of Silk Wood. There should be no net cost to any forestry, conservation and landscape operations.

Develop the wildlife value of the woodland by careful and considered management taking note of wider conservation aims associated with species and habitat targets on the surrounding farmland and objectives in the Cotswolds National Character Area profile.

Manage and develop the specimen collections in Silk Wood to the standards expected of a high quality botanic garden and enable public engagement at every opportunity.

Develop Silk Wood's diverse landscape in a way that supports a dynamic and inclusive engagement programme that enables people to understand and actively engage in the management of Silk Wood; tell the story of its history; increases awareness of the threats to woodland in a changing world, and the benefits of woodland to better health and wellbeing.

Continue invlovement in the important role of research into forestry by mainataining existing research trials and developing new opportunities alongside the Forestry Commissions, Forest Research agency.

Maintain a safe environment for staff and visitors

#### 2.2 Management Objectives

State the objectives of management demonstrating how sustainable forest management is to be achieved. Objectives are a set of specific, quantifiable statements that represent what needs to happen to achieve the long-term vision.

| No. | Objectives (include environmental, economic and social considerations) |
|-----|--|
| 1   | Environmental:   |
|     | Maintain the woodland cover and ecosystems by:                         |

#### 4 | Silk Wood WMP | CR - FR 17-09-20 V6



| No. | Objectives (include environmental, economic and social considerations)  |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|
|     | Managing the gradual decline of ash as a major species by use of appropriate  |  |  |
|     | silvicultural systems and maximising opportunities for ash regeneration and the   |  |  |
|     | continued presence of ash.  |  |  |
|     | Bring into active management all the woodland areas within Silk Wood to ensure  |  |  |
|     | their long-term survival.   |  |  |
|     | Using natural regeneration wherever possible with additional enrichment planting as   |  |  |
|     | appropriate, taking into consideration provenances suited to the expected future  |  |  |
|     | climatic conditions.  |  |  |
|     | Adopt quality management practices that conserve ancient woodland solls   |  |  |
|     | Improving the flue fletwork and adding flew open space     Managing existing and increasing deadwood babitat  |  |  |
|     | <ul> <li>Managing existing and increasing deadwood habitat</li> <li>Identify and safeguard existing and notantial votoran trace</li> </ul>                                      |  |  |
|     | <ul> <li>Identify and safeguard existing and potential veterall frees</li> <li>Ensuring wildlife babitat and protected enories are identified and operations planned</li> </ul> |  |  |
|     | <ul> <li>Ensuring withine habitat and protected species are identified and operations planned<br/>and timed to minimise impact</li> </ul>                                       |  |  |
|     | <ul> <li>Adapting a forward-thinking policy taking into consideration potential climate change</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|     | impacts   |  |  |
|     | • Promoting understanding of sustainable silvicultural and good arboricultural practices  |  |  |
|     | to demonstrate their environmental value  |  |  |
|     | Monitor and evaluate the changes to improve knowledge   |  |  |
| 2   | Economic:   |  |  |
|     | To manage the woods on a cost neutral basis by:   |  |  |
|     | Ensure a steady income by careful planning and undertaking work over a realistic  |  |  |
|     | deliverable time scale.   |  |  |
|     | <ul> <li>Taking opportunities to maximise income by identifying high quality products and</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|     | marketing them appropriately.   |  |  |
|     | Showcasing the value of sustainable timber production and use of timber to society  |  |  |
|     | and the environment   |  |  |
|     | Planting improved tree species and some non-native high value broadleaves to  |  |  |
|     | ensure future income streams and to demonstrate to other managers viable  |  |  |
|     | Silvicultural options   |  |  |
|     | and other vermin  |  |  |
| 3   | Social:   |  |  |
|     | To enhance the social aspects of the woodland by:   |  |  |
|     | <ul> <li>Managing the specimen collections in silk wood to the highest internationally</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|     | accepted standards  |  |  |
|     | • Developing the collection by planting new specimens to enhance and add value to   |  |  |
|     | the existing collection and ensuring survival into the future   |  |  |
|     | <ul> <li>Maintaining the network of rides and paths to enable access to all</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|     | Managing and safeguarding historic features within the woodland e.g. wood bank  |  |  |
|     | <ul> <li>Maintaining the landscape in a way that respects and enhances the Grade 1</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|     | Registered Park and Garden and Ancient Woodland designations.   |  |  |
|     | Enabling people to play an active part in the management of Silk Wood through   |  |  |
|     | community programmes and volunteering   |  |  |



| No. | Objectives (include environmental, economic and social considerations)                |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|
|     | • Providing opportunities and healthy woodland activities that support better mental  |  |  |
|     | health and wellbeing  |  |  |
| 4   | Learning:   |  |  |
|     | Develop learning opportunities within Silk Wood to:                                   |  |  |
|     | Provide better accessibility.   |  |  |
|     | Raise the profile of native woodland.   |  |  |
|     | • Deepen people's relationship with Silk Wood (particularly local people) and connect |  |  |
|     | them with the management story.   |  |  |
|     | • Encourage and enable personal / societal change to promote a more sustainable       |  |  |
|     | future  |  |  |
|     | Enable other professionals to benefit from our experience                             |  |  |



#### **Section 3: Plan Review – Achievements**

Use this section to identify achievements made against previous plan objectives. This section should be completed at the 5-year review and could be informed through monitoring activities undertaken.

| Objectives | Achievement |  |
|------------|-------------|--|
|            |             |  |
|            |             |  |
|            |             |  |
|            |             |  |
|            |             |  |
|            |             |  |

#### Section 4: Woodland Survey

This section is about collecting information relating to your woodland and its location, including any statutory constraints i.e. designations.

#### 4.1 Description

Brief description of the woodland property:

Westonbirt National Arboretum can be broadly divided into 3 landscape areas which make up the Grade 1 Westonbirt Registered Park and Garden. The eastern end is a formal landscape established in 1829 by the Holford family. It is planted with specimen trees and shrubs and is locally known as the old Arboretum. The central area is an open wood pasture landscape. Silk Wood occupies the western end of the site. The expansion of the specimen collection into Silk Wood started at the end of the 1800s and has continued to date. The Forestry Commission took ownership in 1956.

Silk Wood is 140 m above sea level and has a mean annual rainfall of 640 mm and mean annual temperature of 11°C. The soils are brown earths overlying limestone to a depth of around 60 cm. Texture is a mixture of clay and loam and pH ranges from 5.1 to 6.4 (Hutchings and Wilson, 1998).

The woodland is c 150 ha of which 50% is woodland the remainder being formal specimen plantings, road and path networks and several Forest Research trials. The area of Silk Wood is mainly Plantation on Ancient Wood site (PAWS) with the far western blocks Ancient Semi Natural Woodland (ASNW). The woodland cover can be broadly divided into 2 main silvicultural systems. Active coppice and high forest managed under continuous cover.

Restoration of a coppice regime started in 1978 and now accounts for about 16.5 ha of the managed woodland. The regime is hazel (*Corylus avellane*) with oak standards. The coppice cut is on an 8 to 10-year cycle. Coppiced areas require protection from deer during the early years.

The main woodland blocks are mixed broadleaf with the general NVC category of W8; although this does vary across the site. Pedunculate oak (*Quercus robur*) and ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) are the dominant tree species. Ash is present in all the woodland blocks either as a proportion of an intimate mix or as the major tree species (> 40% of the stems).

The mixed woodland blocks mainly run down the centre of Silk Wood. These are isolated and surrounded by open ground with specimen plantings. Management has tended to be piecemeal with felling of individual trees or small groups around the edges of these blocks for safety or


landscape development. Consequently, these blocks tend to have a sinuous edge and a random shape. There is also a network of hard paths throughout this area.

The bulk of the woodland dominated by ash form a contiguous block at the west end of Silk Wood. There is a network of grass rides and paths dividing the individual compartments and there is good access for heavy vehicles by forest roads. Records show that the last management interventions were 1960 and 1970 with the majority in 1970. Work appear to have been a combination of thinning and felling. On site observations would suggest work prior to this was c WW1 and WW2.

Ash in all the woodland compartments is heavily infected with 'chalara', ash die-back (*Hymenoscyphus fraxineus*). There are trees scattered throughout that show either low or little infection that should be retained as part of any management prescriptions. There are scattered large wild cherry (*Prunus avium*) and remnant elm (*Ulmus spp.*)

Large old oaks are scattered throughout the blocks offering potential for deadwood habitat and roost / nesting opportunities for birds and bats. There is an overgrown and generally hidden historic wood bank running north / south through silk wood.

There has been a long association with Forestry Research in Silk Wood evidenced by the experiment name of Westonbirt 1 being given to the whole site. There are records of 32 formal experiments the majority of which are now closed but their legacy in the form of individual or groups of specimens are still present. The latest active experiments are Westonbirt 31 & 32 which are mixed conifer and broadleaf trials of species for future forestry in Britain. The role of Westonbirt Arboretum and Silk Wood as an important site for Forestry Research is recognised and the ability to continue research opportunities into the future should be assured.



#### 4.2 Information

Use this section to identify features that are both present in your woodland(s) and where required, on land adjacent to your woodland. It may be useful to identify known features on an accompanying map. Woodland information for your property can be found on the <u>Magic</u> website or the Forestry Commission <u>Land Information Search</u>.

Searches undertaken by using local reports and surveys and by accessing the National Biological Network (NBN) Gateway (visited 19-09-19). Lists based on 2 km radius of centre of wood.

#### https://records.nbnatlas.org/explore/your-area#51.6070137|-2.216395099999997|13|ALL\_SPECIES

| Feature                                   | Within<br>Woodland(s) | Cpts | Adjacent to<br>Woodland(s) | Map No |
|---|-----------------------|------|----------------------------|--------|
| <b>Biodiversity</b> - <b>Designations</b> |                       |      |                            |        |
| Site of Special Scientific Interest       | No                    |      | No                         |        |
| Special Area of Conservation              | No                    |      | No                         |        |
| Tree Preservation Order                   | No                    |      | No                         |        |
| Conservation Area                         | No                    |      | No                         |        |
| Special Protection Area                   | No                    |      | No                         |        |
| Ramsar Site                               | No                    |      | No                         |        |
| National Nature Reserve                   | No                    |      | No                         |        |
| Local Nature Reserve                      | No                    |      | No                         |        |
| Other (please Specify):                   | No                    |      | No                         |        |
| Notes                                     |                       |      |                            |        |

|        | Feature  | Within<br>Woodland | Cpts | Map<br>No | Notes   |
|--------|--|--------------------|------|-----------|---|
| Biodiv | versity - <mark>European Prote</mark>                  | ected Species      |      |           |   |
| Bat    | Records from survey by<br>Gloucestershire Bat<br>Group | Yes                | ALL  |           | Common Pipistrelle - Pipistrellus<br>pipistrellus<br>Soprano Pipistrelle - Pipistrellus pygmaeus<br>Noctule - Nyctalus noctula<br>Leisler's - Nyctalus leisleri<br>Serotine - Eptesicus serotinus<br>Brandt - Myotis brandti<br>Whiskered - Myotis mystacinus<br>Barbastelle - Barbastella barbastellus<br>Lesser - Horseshoe Rhinolophus<br>hipposideros<br>Brown log-eared - Plecotus auritus<br>Bechstein's - Myotis bechsteinii<br>Bat boxes are present on the ride edge of<br>compartment SW4 and SW5 and were last<br>monitored in 2018 by Glos bat group.<br>There is no evidence of them having been<br>used to date. They should be considered<br>during any forest operations. |
| Dormo  | buse   | Yes                | ALL  |           | Habitat appears suitable but a previous<br>survey using 'hair tubes' produced no<br>records. There are 5 records on the NBN<br>Gateway for visual observations prior to<br>1995 but no records since  |
| Great  | Crested Newt   | No                 |      |           | GCN have been recorded in the ponds associated with the old arboretum and   |



|  |               |                    |       |                  |    | downs a considerable distance from Silk<br>Wood. 2 records on NBN from 1984 on<br>edge of compartment SW19 outside of  |
|--|---------------|--------------------|-------|------------------|----|--|
| Otter                                      |               | No                 |       |                  |    | wood.<br>No running water or large water bodies so<br>unlikely to be present. No records on NBN<br>for the site  |
| Sand Lizard                                |               | No                 |       |                  |    | Not suitable habitat not likely to be<br>present   |
| Smooth Snake                               |               | No                 |       |                  |    | Not suitable habitat not likely to be present  |
| Natterjack Toad                            |               | No                 |       |                  |    | Not suitable habitat not likely to be<br>present   |
| Biodiversity – Pri                         | ority Specie  | s                  |       | 1                |    |  |
| Schedule 1 Birds                           | Species:      | No                 |       |                  |    | <ul> <li>Adjacent agricultural land and<br/>consequently areas of the woodland are<br/>highlighted on Magic Map as priority areas<br/>for several bird species.</li> <li>Priority species target area for Corn<br/>Bunting, Grey Partridge, Tree<br/>Sparrow, Lapwing.</li> <li>Arable Assemblage Farmland Birds</li> </ul>                                |
| Mammals (Red Squ<br>Vole, Pine Marten e    | uirrel, Water | No                 |       |                  |    | No records on NBN  |
| Reptiles (grass sna<br>common lizard etc)  | ke, adder,    | No                 |       |                  |    | No records on NBN  |
| Plants                                     |               | Yes                |       | ALL              |    | Rich plant community throughout the<br>woodland and internal ride grass areas.<br>Notable local species is Spreading<br>Bellflower ( <i>Campanula patula</i> ). NBN lists<br>306 species records but this does include<br>some specimen trees. There is an ongoing<br>monitoring program undertaken by<br>volunteers and supervised by Forest<br>Research. |
| Fungi/Lichens                              |               | Yes                |       | ALL              |    | 377 species are recorded on the NBN although the Cotswold Fungus Group have recorded 1300 species at Westonbirt.   |
| Invertebrates (butt<br>moths, beetles etc) | erflies,<br>) | Yes                |       | ALL              |    | Priority species may be present but there are limited records available. NBN has recorded 607 species in the wider area.   |
| Amphibians (pool fi<br>common toad)        | rog,          | Yes                |       |                  |    | Likely to be present. However, there is no standing water  |
| Badgers                                    |               | Yes                |       | ALL              |    | Badgers are present in the woodland and<br>several setts have been highlighted. Any<br>forest management will take these into<br>consideration   |
| Historic Environn                          | nent 🛛        | 1                  |       | 1                | [] |  |
| Scheduled Monume                           | ents          | NO                 |       |                  |    |  |
| Unscheduled Monu                           | ments         | No                 |       |                  |    |  |
| Registered Parks an                        | nd Gardens    | Yes All ex<br>SW5, |       | cluding<br>6 & 7 |    | Westonbirt Grade 1 - 1000457<br>https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-<br>list/list-entry/1000457   |
| Boundaries and Vel                         | teran Trees   | Yes                |       | ALL              |    | Veteran and potential veteran trees<br>identified throughout the woodland but not<br>yet mapped.   |
| Listed Building on a land                  | adjoining     | Yes                |       | SW6              |    | Adjoining land on western edge of<br>woodland. WASTE BARN AND ADJOINING<br>STABLE AND ANIMAL SHELTER<br>https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-<br>list/list-entry/1153174   |
| Woodbank                                   |               | Yes                | SW5 8 | & SW7            |    | There is a wood bank running along the<br>eastern edge of comps SW5 and SW7 this   |

Forestry Commission

|                                |           |                 | appears to extend along the southern edge   |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---|
| Artificial Fox oarth           | Voc       |                 | OI SIIK WOOU<br>Southern edge of Palmer Ride adjacent to  |
|                                | res       |                 | old native species collection   |
| Landscape                      |           | •               |   |
| National Character Area (pleas | e Specify | /): NCA Profile | e:107. Cotswolds (NE420)  |
| National Park                  | No        |                 |   |
| Area of Outstanding Natural    | Yes       | All             | Cotswolds AONB  |
| Beauty                         |           |                 | <u>http://www.landscapesforlife.org.uk/about</u><br>-aonbs/visit-aonbs/cotswolds-aonb   |
| NCA link:                      | Yes       | All             | http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/<br>publication/5900626?category=587130   |
| People                         |           |                 |   |
| CROW Access                    | No        |                 |   |
| Public Rights of Way (any)     | Yes       | Silk<br>Wood    | Bridle way and Macmillan Way long<br>distance path. Running through centre of<br>wood. This is partly a permissive path                         |
| Other Access Provision         | Yes/N     | 0               | Entry to site is by payment   |
| Public Involvement             | Yes       | All             | Public access across the whole site<br>Friends organisation<br>https://www.fowa.org.uk/   |
| Visitor Information            | Yes       | All             | https://www.forestryengland.uk/westonbir<br>t-the-national-arboretum  |
| Public Recreation Facilities   | Yes       | All             | Path track network, restaurants, visitor centre, toilets, Friends visitor building, education facilities  |
| Provision of Learning          | Yes       |                 | Dedicated team and expansive program  |
| Opportunities                  |           |                 | t-the-national-arboretum/learning-<br>westonbirt  |
| Anti-social Behaviour          | No        |                 |   |
| Other – Parish Boundary        | Yes       | All             | The central N/S ride in Silk wood is a<br>parish boundary dividing Didmarton CP to<br>the west and Westonbirt with Lasborough<br>CP to the east |
| Water                          |           |                 |   |
| Watercourses                   | Yes       | B1-B7           | Occasional watercourse usually after heavy<br>rain running down valley along boundary<br>of coppice area B                                      |
| Lakes                          | No        |                 |   |
| Ponds                          | No        |                 | Seasonal catchment in sinkholes no<br>permanent water   |
| Other (please Specify):        | Yes       | All             | Ditch and drain network throughout site.<br>Only occasional water after heavy rain  |



#### 4.3 Habitat Types

This section is to consider the habitat types within your woodland(s) that might impact/inform your management decisions. Larger non-wooded areas within your woodland should be classified according to broad habitat type where relevant this information should also help inform your management decisions. Woodlands should be designed to achieve a diverse structure of habitat, species and ages of trees, appropriate to the scale and context of the woodland.

| Feature                                 | Within<br>Woodland | Cpts  | Map<br>No | Notes   |
|---|--------------------|---|-----------|---|
| Woodland Habitat Types                  |                    |   |           |   |
| Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland           | Yes                | See notes                                   |           | All R1, SW1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7,<br>8, 9 and parts of SW10,<br>14, 15, 23  |
| Planted Ancient Woodland Site<br>(PAWS) | Yes                | See notes                                   |           | Part of SW10, 14, 15, 23<br>and all SW11, 13, 16, 17,<br>18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24 plus<br>all coppice areas                 |
| Semi-natural features in PAWS           | Yes                | All listed                                  |           | All woodlands have a<br>species mix associated with<br>ASNW but do include non-<br>native trees as specimens<br>or groups |
| Lowland beech and yew woodland          | No                 |   |           |   |
| Lowland mixed deciduous woodland        | Yes                | All listed                                  |           | Generally mixed broadleaf<br>with occasional conifers in<br>places  |
| Upland mixed ash woods                  | No                 |   |           |   |
| Upland Oakwood                          | No                 |   |           |   |
| Wet woodland                            | No                 |   |           |   |
| Wood-pasture and parkland               | No                 |   |           | On all of adjacent<br>Arboretum estate  |
| Other (please Specify):                 | Yes/No             |   |           |   |
| Non-Woodland Habitat Types              |                    |   | 1         |   |
| Blanket bog                             | No                 |   |           |   |
| Fenland                                 | No                 |   |           |   |
| Lowland calcareous grassland            | Yes                | All rides and<br>open<br>arboretum<br>areas |           | Most of the open grassland<br>could be classed as lowland<br>calcareous but it much<br>depleted                           |
| Lowland dry acid grassland              | No                 |   |           |   |
| Lowland heath land                      | No                 |   |           |   |
| Lowland meadows                         | No                 |   |           |   |
| Lowland raised bog                      | No                 |   |           |   |
| Rush pasture                            | No                 |   |           |   |
| Reed bed                                | No                 |   |           |   |
| Wood pasture                            | No                 |   |           |   |
| Upland hay meadows                      | No                 |   |           |   |
| Upland heath land                       | No                 |   |           |   |
| Unimproved grassland                    | No                 |   |           |   |
| Peat lands                              | No                 |   |           |   |
| Wetland habitats                        | No                 |   |           |   |
| Other (please Specify):                 | No                 |   |           |   |



#### 4.4 Structure

This section should provide a snapshot of the current structure of your woodland as a whole. A full inventory for your woodland(s) can be included in the separate Plan of Operations spreadsheet. Ensuring woodland has a varied structure in terms of age, species, origin and open space will provide a range of benefits for the biodiversity of the woodland and its resilience. The diagrams below show an example of both uneven and even aged woodland.

| Woodland Type (Broadleaf,<br>Conifer, Coppice, Intimate Mix) | Percentage of Mgt<br>Plan Area | Age Structure<br>(even/uneven) | Notes (i.e. understory or natural<br>regeneration present)                                    |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Coppice – Mixed broadleaf                                    | 11%                            | Even                           | Mostly hazel coppice with oak standards   |
| Mixed Broadleaf  | 39%                            | Uneven                         | Mixture of mature MB woodland with rich mix of tree and shrub species. Variable age structure |
| Research Sites   | 3.5%                           | Even                           | Research trial sites planted in 2012. MB and MC mainly non-native forestry species            |
| Arboretum  | 41.5%                          | Uneven                         | Mixture of specimen broadleaf and conifer trees and shrubs. Generally open grown trees        |
| Rides and tracks within woodland                             | 5%                             |                                | Ride & roads within woodland blocks   |

Uneven-aged woodland - many wildlife habitats because of high diversity



Ancient trees containing both living and dead branches Middle-aged trees

Fallen Unde dead trees of shr

Understorey New saplings of shrubs and small trees Even-aged woodland - tidy but of low diversity





#### **Section 5: Woodland Protection**

Woodlands in England face a range of threats; this section allows you to consider the potential threats that could be facing your woodland(s). Use the simple Risk Assessment process below to consider any potential threats to their woodland(s) and whether there is a need to take action to protect their woodlands.

**Note:** To add more tables, Copy the table and Paste below.

#### 5.1 Risk Matrix

5 2 D

The matrix below provides a system for scoring risk. The matrix also indicates the advised level of action to take to help manage the threat.

|        | High                   | Plan for Action | Action          | Action          |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Impact | Medium                 | Monitor         | Plan for Action | Action          |
|        | Low                    | Monitor         | Monitor         | Plan for Action |
|        |                        | Low             | Medium          | High            |
|        | Likelihood of Presence |                 |                 |                 |

| Threat – `chalara', Ash Dieback - | Hymenoscyphus fraxineus  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Likelihood of presence - high     | Already present and causing extensive and progressive die-back. It is    |
|                                   | already having a major impact on the safety and health of the woodland   |
| Impact - high                     | Major impact as ash is a very high component of the stem and volume      |
|                                   | figures for many of the compartments. In addition, the ash collection of |
|                                   | different species and cultivars is situated in Silk Wood adjacent to     |
|                                   | compartment SW16. Many of these are infected and there is the potential  |
|                                   | of losing this genera and several associated members of the Oleaceae     |
|                                   | family   |
| Response                          | • See details under Appendix 2 – Ash management options in Westonbirt    |
|                                   | Silk Wood  |
|                                   | • Identify, mark and retain any ash that are showing apparent resilience |
|                                   | or little die-back   |
|                                   | • Remove infected ash from ride and track sides within at least 1 tree   |
|                                   | length to reduce health and safety concerns from falling trees.          |
|                                   | • Thin some compartments to allow light and air movement and             |
|                                   | encourage regeneration   |
|                                   | • Selective fell areas of heavily infected ash and replant / regenerate  |
|                                   | Allow ash to regenerate and provide opportunity for possibly resistant   |
|                                   | seedlings to grow  |
|                                   | Maximise income from the sale of any good quality timber butts           |
|                                   | • Spread future plantings of ash specimens throughout wider collection   |
|                                   | Engage with visitors to ensure that they understand the work being       |
|                                   | undertaken and encourage them to participate further in our woodland     |
|                                   | culture  |



| Threat – Phytophthora ramorum   |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Likelihood of presence - medium | PR has been found and controlled on another area in the old arboretum.                  |
| Impact - high                   | Potential to cause the death of certain specimen trees and potential for                |
|                                 | infecting overstorey plantings of larch.  |
| Response (inc protection        | <ul> <li>Monitor for presence by regular health checks – already in place as</li> </ul> |
| measures)                       | visited regularly by Forest Research tree health team                                   |
|                                 | Maintain and manage the pasteurisation facility for forestry and                        |
|                                 | arboricultural residues at the northern end of Silk Wood in compartment                 |
|                                 | SW23  |
|                                 | • Ensure biosecurity protocols are in place and used when visiting the sites            |
|                                 | • Engage with visitors to ensure that they support Keep it Clean campaign               |
|                                 | and take responsibility for their own actions to reduce the risk of                     |
|                                 | spreading pests and disease   |

| Threat – <i>Dendroctonus micans</i> – Great Spruce Bark Beetle |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Likelihood of presence - High                                  | The beetle is already present onsite and has caused the death of several            |  |
|  | specimen spruce.  |  |
| Impact - Medium  | It has the potential to cause the death of more specimen spruce trees which         |  |
|  | would lessen the overall value of the arboretum collection and mean that            |  |
|  | spruce may not be planted in the future.  |  |
| Response (inc protection                                       | • Monitor for presence by regular health checks – by staff or as part of the        |  |
| measures)  | regular monitoring by Forest Research tree health team                              |  |
|  | • If found arrange for release of the biological control <i>Rhizophagus grandis</i> |  |
|  | by Forest Research and monitor.   |  |

| Threat – General             |  |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Likelihood of presence - Low | There are a number of potential pests and diseases that could devastate the  |  |
|                              | woodland and tree collection if they arrived on site.                        |  |
| Impact - High                | A number of diseases e.g. Xylella could potentially kill most of the trees   |  |
|                              | onsite and the arrival of a pest e.g. Oak processionary moth could have      |  |
|                              | major public health implications   |  |
| Response (inc protection     | • Ensure up to date biosecurity register and plan is agreed, and all staff   |  |
| measures)                    | are aware of it.   |  |
|                              | • Monitor for presence by regular health checks – by staff or as part of the |  |
|                              | regular monitoring by Forest Research tree health team                       |  |
|                              | Ensure staff are up to date with potential diseases and pests through        |  |
|                              | regular training.  |  |
|                              | Link with other botanic gardens and the Sentinel Network to be               |  |
|                              | forewarned of any issues elsewhere.  |  |



| • Engage with visitors to ensure that they support Keep it Clean campaign |
|---|
| and take responsibility for their own actions to reduce the risk of       |
| spreading pests and disease   |

| 5.3 <u>Deer</u>               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Likelihood of presence - high | Roe, Muntjac and occasional fallow have been recorded -the latter          |
|                               | according to records on the NBN gateway                                    |
| Impact - high                 | There is evidence throughout all the woodlands of deer browsing which is   |
|                               | reducing regeneration and probably affecting the herb / flower mix.        |
|                               | Specimen trees are also vulnerable to browsing and fraying. Coppice areas  |
|                               | already require protection in the early years and future work will involve |
|                               | replanting or encouragement of natural regeneration. The research trial    |
|                               | areas have all been fenced against deer.                                   |
| Response (inc protection      | • Instigate a program of deer culling and maintain network of deer         |
| measures)                     | glades and high seats  |
|                               | Maintain deer fence around research areas                                  |
|                               | Undertake protection of newly planted trees using tubes or other           |
|                               | suitable protection methods  |
|                               | • Erect temporary fences around larger areas of coppice or coupes for      |
|                               | natural regeneration   |
|                               | Monitor for damage and respond as required                                 |
|                               | Use any venison in the restaurants at Westonbirt                           |

| 5.4 Grey Squirrels            |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Likelihood of presence - high | Grey squirrels are omnipresent throughout Silk Wood.                               |
| Impact - high                 | Squirrels have the potential to damage remaining trees once ash has been           |
|                               | thinned out. This could increase the pressure on a range of other sensitive        |
|                               | tree species both within the woodland blocks and amongst the planted               |
|                               | specimens.   |
| Response (inc protection      | • Instigate a program of control. This is likely to be by shooting as              |
| measures)                     | trapping would be difficult due to the high numbers of visitors who will           |
|                               | interfere with traps   |
|                               | Monitor for damage and respond as required     Trial the Good Nature squired traps |
|                               | Trial the Good Nature squirrel traps   |

| 5.5 Livestock and Other Mammals |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Threat - Rabbit / Hares         |   |
| Likelihood of presence - high   | Rabbits and hare can be found throughout the woodland blocks.             |
| Impact - high                   | Browsing of young newly planted trees and regeneration is likely and will |
|                                 | lead to losses. Additional damage can occur to valuable specimens. The    |
|                                 | research trial areas have all been fenced against rabbits.                |



| Response (inc protection<br>measures) | • | Instigate a program of control by shooting where required<br>Maintain rabbit fence around research areas<br>Undertake protection of newly planted trees using tubes or other<br>suitable protection methods |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|
|                                       | • | Erect temporary fences around larger areas of coppice or coupes for<br>natural regeneration<br>Monitor for damage and respond as required   |

| Threat – Cattle               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Likelihood of presence - high | Cattle are used to graze the down-land in the adjacent wood pasture to the   |
|                               | east of Silk Wood. This is part of the annual cycle of grassland             |
|                               | management   |
| Impact - low                  | Ingress by cattle is unlikely but possible and would likely be picked up and |
|                               | rectified quickly. Browsing could occur on trees near to the main gateways   |
| Response (inc protection      | Ensure cattle are regularly monitored  |
| measures)                     | Check the effectiveness of fences, gates and cattle grids regularly          |
|                               | Have a plan in place to remove cattle from the woodland                      |

| 5.6 Water & Soil  |  |
|---|--|
| Threat - Soil damage and erosion during forest operations |  |
| Likelihood of presence - high                             | Forest soils are delicate and ancient woodland soils are present across this |
|   | woodland. Careful planning and timing of operations is essential to          |
|   | minimise damage. The soils generally drain well but can hold pools of        |
|   | water where compacted. Erosion should be a minor issue                       |
| Impact - high   | There will be a lot of harvesting work being undertaken over a relatively    |
|   | short time scale, so some damage is inevitable.                              |
| Response (inc protection                                  | Undertale operations when soils are dry                                      |
| measures)   | Create a permanent network of operational felling and extraction             |
|   | routes. This will limit damage to a relatively small surface area and        |
|   | could create additional diverse micro-habitats for fauna and flora.          |
|   | Undertake operations with low ground pressure equipment whenever             |
|   | possible   |

| Threat – Fuel / oil spillage during forest operations |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Likelihood of presence - high                         | `Spot' pollution from forestry machinery is a threat and will be planned for |  |
|   | in the hazard planning of any operations.                                    |  |
| Impact low  | Likely to effect very small areas  |  |
| Response (inc protection                              | • Ensure fuelling and maintenance areas are away from water courses          |  |
| measures)   | Include forest operations in any pollution plan                              |  |
|   | Ensure all operators have spill kits available and know how to use           |  |
|   | them   |  |



### 5.7 Environmental

| Threat to Woodland - Wind       | Silk wood is exposed to wind on most sides and therefore vulnerable to          |
|---------------------------------|---|
|                                 | wind damage. Fortunately, the woodland is mainly broadleaf, and the soils       |
|                                 | allow for good anchorage and windblown is uncommon.                             |
| Likelihood of presence - low    | Very little evidence of wind blow within woodland. There is a good              |
|                                 | windfirm edge around the entire site  |
| Impact - low                    | Minor issue in current condition  |
| Response (inc protection        | Maintain windfirm edge to woodland  |
| measures)                       | Pocket felling within woodland to minimise operational exposure                 |
| -                               |   |
| Threat to specimen collection – | Many of the specimen plantings are open grown trees made up of mature           |
| wind                            | conifers and broadleaves. These have large crowns and consequently large        |
|                                 | sail areas with the potential for wind blow. Proposed work in the adjacent      |
|                                 | woodlands that currently provide a degree of shelter will need to be            |
|                                 | diligently planned.   |
| Likelihood of presence - high   | There is likely to be a higher level of wind blow within the woodland blocks    |
|                                 | after operations have occurred.   |
| Impact - high                   | The potential to lose old valuable specimen trees some of which may be          |
|                                 | irreplaceable   |
| Response (inc protection        | Maintain windfirm woodland edge wherever possible                               |
| measures)                       | Retain ash and other trees to assist with protection where they do not          |
|                                 | create a safety risk  |
|                                 |   |
| Threat to woodland - Invasive   | The nature of any botanical collection is the inclusion of potentially invasive |
| Species                         | trees and shrubs. Some specimens planted in Silk Wood will have the             |
|                                 | potential to become invasive  |
| Likelihood of presence - medium | Invasive tree species are present. The frequency of seed production and         |
|                                 |   |

|                          | alignment of the right conditions for regeneration are infrequent. |
|--------------------------|--|
| Impact - low             | Likely to be single or small patches of regeneration               |
| Response (inc protection | Monitor for regeneration of invasive species                       |
| measures)                | Remove if likely to become established with the woodland blocks    |

### 5.8 Social

| Threat - Rights of Way        | Access via any rights of way network should be maintained and kept open  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Likelihood of presence - high | A bridle way and Macmillan long distance path runs through the centre of |
|                               | Silk Wood. There is also a public footpath running along the southern    |
|                               | boundary of the wood   |



| Impact - low             | There are few woodland blocks that are adjacent to the bridle way. There   |
|--------------------------|--|
|                          | should be no impact on the external right of way unless blocked by falling |
|                          | trees from within the wood.  |
| Response (inc protection | • PRW's are kept open and well signed if any operations are taking place.  |
| measures)                | • Monitor trees / woodland adjacent to the PRW's for any safety issues     |
|                          | and respond promptly   |

| Threat - permissive access    | An extensive network of rides, paths and roads exists throughout Silk |
|-------------------------------|---|
|                               | Wood.   |
| Likelihood of presence - high | There is unimpeded access and all routes are well used.               |
| Impact - low                  | There is little impact by visitors                                    |
| Response (inc protection      | Maintain access in good condition suited to access requirements       |
| measures)                     | Use signage for any temporary closures                                |

| Threat – engagement activities | Soil compaction / reduced biodiversity / impact on landscape           |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| such as trails and events      |  |  |  |  |
| Likelihood of presence – high  | We have almost 600,000 visits to the arboretum/annum; around 70% visit |  |  |  |
|                                | Silk Wood. Our activities can increase visitation to particular areas  |  |  |  |
| Impact – Low                   | There is little impact by visitors                                     |  |  |  |
| Response (inc protection       | OSA system to ensure consultation with Collections team                |  |  |  |
| measures)                      | Move trails / activities around to spread visits                       |  |  |  |
|                                | Maintain good hard / woodchip path network                             |  |  |  |

## 5.9 Economic

| Threat (Timber forecasting,    | The focus of the work in Silk Wood is to manage the woodland with the rapid    |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| markets, products, operational | impact of 'chalara', ash die-back and bring the woodlands back into regular    |  |  |  |  |
| costs etc)                     | management.  |  |  |  |  |
| Likelihood of presence - high  | A large amount of work will take place over a short period                     |  |  |  |  |
| Impact - high                  | There will be quick changes to the wood based on carefully planned             |  |  |  |  |
|                                | operations around the current rate of ash decline. If ash declines faster than |  |  |  |  |
|                                | expected plans may need to change and overall delivery of agreed               |  |  |  |  |
|                                | operations rescheduled or brought forward.                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Response (inc protection       | • Prioritise operations to make the most of any income opportunities.          |  |  |  |  |
| measures)                      | Monitor decline of ash   |  |  |  |  |
|                                | Provide good packages of work for contractor efficiency                        |  |  |  |  |
|                                | Produce flexible plans and expect the unexpected                               |  |  |  |  |

| 5.10 <u>Climate Change</u> Resilience |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Threat - Uniform Structure            | Silk Wood is made up of a diverse species mix of varying ages. Ash is the  |
|                                       | dominant species follow by POK. Previous operations have created an even   |
|                                       | age structure in the ash with the majority being in the region of 50 years |
|                                       | old. Similarly, the oaks are generally 200 to 210 years of age and of low  |
|                                       | timber quality but high conservation value.                                |



| Likelihood of presence - high | A uniform structure exists in many compartments within the high canopy    |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|                               | species   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impact - high                 | The loss of one species due to disease will have a large impact on the    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | woodland composition and the associated biodiversity.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Response (inc protection      | Maintain a wide variety of species and age classes reflecting the         |  |  |  |  |  |
| measures)                     | current structure   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Encourage regeneration wherever possible                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Introduce additional species both native and naturalised in any           |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | planting operations   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Threat – Limited Provenance   | The species present will be adapted to the site and may not be able to    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | adjust to rapid climate change and the associated changes to site         |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | conditions. Many of the canopy species such as POK and ash may have       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | been planted in the past.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Likelihood of presence - high | There may be provenances of some species from other areas within or       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | outside of the UK that could provide some resilience                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impact - high                 | Climate predictions would indicate a change of site conditions over time  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | and likely change to species success both negatively and positively       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Response (inc protection      | Encourage natural regeneration of all species to allow naturally          |  |  |  |  |  |
| measures)                     | adaptable progeny to survive  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | • Introduce a range of additional species that would likely arrive within |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | these woodland over time given the right opportunities                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Source seed for existing species from more southerly provenances          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | both within the UK and throughout its natural European range              |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | • Introduce near natives and species that would have arrived in the UK if |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | a land bridge with Europe were still present and consider those species   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | not able to recolonise northern Europe after the last ice-age             |  |  |  |  |  |



#### Section 6: Management Strategy

This section requires a statement of intent, setting out how you intend to achieve your management objectives and manage important features identified within the previous sections of the plan. A detailed work programme by sub-compartment can be added to the Plan of Operations.

| Management Objective<br>/ Feature   |   | Management Intention   |  |  |    |   |
|---|---|--|--|--|----|---|
|   |   |  |  |  | En | Environmental: Maintain the woodland cover and ecosystems by: |
| 1.  | Managing the gradual<br>decline of ash as a<br>major species by use<br>of appropriate<br>silvicultural systems<br>and maximising<br>opportunities for the<br>continued presence of  | To achieve these ojectives a number of silvicultural options will be<br>undertaken. The operations will structurally diversify the woods;<br>provide long-term landscape continuity; promote habitat<br>development; conserve cultural interest; create open space and<br>forestry infrastructure and render marketable timber and fuelwood<br>materials for sale. Forestry Commission England, <b>Operations</b><br><b>Note 046: Managing ash (Fraxinus excelsior) in woodlands</b><br><b>in light of ash dieback (Hymenoscyphus fraxineus)</b> was used<br>as a basis for decision making. The operations include: |  |  |    |   |
| ash<br>2. Bring into active<br>management all the<br>woodland areas within<br>Silk Wood to ensure<br>their long-term<br>survival. | <b>Coppice</b> – The existing coppice areas will continue under the current management regime. This is detailed in a separate coppice woodland management plan Appendix 1 and will not be detailed further in this plan. Information will be included in the Inventory, Plan of Operations. |  |  |  |    |   |
|   | Silk Wood to ensure<br>their long-term<br>survival.   | <b>Thinning</b> - Areas of closed and closing canopy high forest will be<br>thinned to sustain the best trees for timber development, disease<br>resistance and adaptation to climate change. Thinning will not<br>exceed 30% canopy area unless a specific objective requires a<br>higher level of intervention, which will be stated in the Plan of<br>Operations.   |  |  |    |   |
|   |   | Thinning will help maintain the diverse storey and structure of the woods and encouage a diversity of tree species to develop.   |  |  |    |   |
|   |   | At the same time, declining mature timber trees will be removed<br>from the wood as part of the thinning operations, where there is<br>an appreciable market value for the timber, as long as it is<br>regarded safe to do so, with regard to possible bat roosts or other<br>important micro-habitats.  |  |  |    |   |
|   |   | <b>Felling / selective felling</b> – A programme of selective felling and regeneration will be part of the mix of operations as detailed in the Plan of Operations.  |  |  |    |   |
|   |   | Felling coupes will generally not exceed 0.25ha in selective felling areas and will be targetted on areas of weaker canopy and poorer trees.   |  |  |    |   |
|   |   | More extensive areas may be clear felled to achieve wider goals of<br>species diversification or where compartments are so small that<br>the removal of ash will leave very little standing  |  |  |    |   |



|  | <b>Ride side thinning</b> – A major part of the early operations is<br>safety work required to mitigate potential issues from dying ash<br>adjacent to rides. Failing ash will be felled within in one tree<br>length of all the ride network. Other species will be left where<br>possible to maintain occasional shady rides. Other rides may<br>require opening up by removal of the majority of trees of all<br>species especially if the non-ash trees are unbalanced or<br>unhealthy.   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | More detailed descriptions of the different management options to be undertaken in Silk Wood are detailed in Appendix 3.  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fire and wind risks will be considered in planning interventions  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Alternative methods of managing the Ash woodland are considered<br>further and in more detail under Educational opportunities below.<br>This includes opportunities for demonstration of various<br>silvicultural systems for sustainable woodland management based<br>in various publications and advisory information published to date.  |  |  |  |  |
| Using natural<br>regeneration wherever<br>possible with additional<br>enrichment planting as                     | Regeneration will mainly be through natural regeneration<br>supplimented by planting of native, honorary native and deciduous<br>exotic species that best suit the site to provide further<br>diversification.  |  |  |  |  |
| appropriate taking into<br>consideration<br>provenances suited to the<br>expected future climatic<br>conditions. | The decision to plant may be left until thinning / felling operations<br>have occurred as replanting may not be required if sufficient<br>undertorey or regeneration is present. Any planting is likley to be<br>in groups or scattered across the woodlands and will be in the form<br>of 'enrichment' to gradually introduce new species and develop a<br>wider age range. Larger scale restocking in a more formal forestry<br>style may be required were ash has failed completely and there is<br>little or no chance of regeneration or replacement by the existing<br>understorey. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Attention will be given to provenance and origin to select UK south<br>and south eastern stock as well as that to the south in continental<br>Europe.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Where available, plants will also be chosen from selected and improved sources for timber production.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ash and other native species naturally regenerating from seed or coppice regrowth will be accepted into the regeneration.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | All regeneration sites will be appropriately protected and maintained to ensure effective establishment and future growth. This will include selective formative and high pruning.  |  |  |  |  |
| Integrating Forest<br>Developments types into<br>long-term management  | The idea of Forest Development Types (FDTs) is widely used in forestry practice in Germany to design and manage site adapted mixed stands. The main idea of FDTs is that they provide a long-term vision of how the species composition and structure of a  |  |  |  |  |



|   | forest stand is intended to develop. At present, there is no<br>equivalent tool in Britain but a project in Forest Research is<br>currently developing the concept for application in Britain. When<br>the project has been completed, we will attempt to use FDTs for<br>selected areas of Silk Wood. At a time when we are trying to<br>increase the resilience of the forest estate the idea of FDTs could<br>be a useful tool for forest planners and managers. |  |
|---|---|--|
| Seeking opportunities to conserve ancient woodland soils  | Soils within ancient woodland are a precious and fragile resource.<br>Every effort will be taken to minimise any damage during forestry<br>operations.  |  |
|   | Timing of forestry work should take advantage of the drier summer months.   |  |
|   | A network of permanent operational and extraction routes will be<br>established within the woodland blocks. These will be used for any<br>subsequent operations and will minimise the overall impact on the<br>forest soils.  |  |
|   | Undertaking work over the summer period has the potential to<br>impact on bird nesting. The public perception of this issue is<br>greater than the actual effect and careful planning and survey will<br>reduce any impact. Messages on the long-term benefits to habitat<br>and additional bird nesting opportunities should be built into any<br>publicity and educational messages.  |  |
| Improving ride network<br>and additional open<br>space  | Thinning will be used as an opportunity to maintain and develop<br>ride and glade open space and to carefully open up any known or<br>new features of archaeological, historical or cultural interest.  |  |
| managing existing and<br>increasing deadwood<br>habitat   | Thinning also helps with deadwood habitat development, in that<br>brash where approriate, will be piled into habitat piles, individual<br>trees will be felled and left on site and where appropriate tree<br>ringbarking will be used to develop further standing deadwood,<br>initially this will be limited until the impact of ash dieback is better<br>understood.   |  |
| identifying and safeguard<br>existing and potential<br>veteran trees  | d As part of the operational planning process existing and potential veteran trees of all species will be identified, mapped and highlighted before any operation takes place. Thinning will be used as a tool to gradually open up identified veteran or potenti veteran' trees, without overexposing them.  |  |
| Ensuring wildlife habitat<br>and protected species are<br>identified and protected<br>and operations timed to | Forestry operations will be planned and executed in a way so as to<br>minimise the risk to protected species, such as EPS, badgers and<br>nesting birds, as well as to features such as the ancient woodland<br>soil and ground flora.  |  |
| minimise impact   | To build biodiversity gains into all forestry operations, as outlined above.  |  |
| Adapting a forward think policy taking into   | K The biggest, long-term threat is probably that associated with climate change and the interplay with new and advancing pests  |  |



| consideration potential<br>climate change impacts  | and diseases, and the main response to that will be continued diversification, as described above.  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Promoting understanding<br>of sustainable silvicultural<br>/ arboricultural practices                                  | Develop SW11-13 specifically to facilitate public engagement with silvicultural practices including giving them a say in how we develop this area.  |  |  |  |
| in society to foster<br>support for better<br>environmental<br>management more   | Provide more practical / education opportunities to support<br>students at all levels to study different silvicultural systems for<br>sustainable woodland management. This will include work<br>experience and led activities.   |  |  |  |
| widely   | Continue to incorporate engagement aims into our operations to<br>ensure integration of works with an evolving interpretation / arts<br>programme to foster public understanding and advocacy for<br>sustainable woodland management  |  |  |  |
|  | Work in partnership to grow opportunities for people to undertake research using Silk Wood  |  |  |  |
| Monitor and evaluate the<br>changes to improve<br>knowledge  | Undertake baseline vegetation survey. Encourage ongoing monitoring via use of volunteer group. Archive plans and operational records for future reference.  |  |  |  |
| Economic: To manage the  | e woods on a cost neutral basis by:   |  |  |  |
| Ensuring a steady income<br>by careful planning and<br>undertaking work over a<br>realistic deliverable time<br>scale. | An inventory and general survey will be undertaken to provide<br>background information to inform decisions when planning any<br>work (Appendix 2). This will be input into an agreed Inventory<br>and Plan of Operations. This will allow any approved contractor<br>the opportunity to budget effectively and market any produce to<br>maximise income. |  |  |  |
| Taking opportunities to<br>maximise income by<br>identifying high quality<br>products and marketing                    | The woodlands contain a number of high value timber trees.<br>Wherever possible saleable logs of cherry, ash, oak and any other<br>quality hardwood should be identified and harvested if removal<br>does not compromise the integrity of the stand.  |  |  |  |
| them appropriately.  | Silvicultural thinning operations should identify and maintain any trees that show future potential as a high value product.  |  |  |  |
| Showcasing the value of sustainable timber   | Continue to develop Westonbirt Woodworks to utilise wood from ongoing management of Westonbirt  |  |  |  |
| production and use of<br>timber to society and the<br>environment  | Support Coppice workers and develop public engagement programme with their work   |  |  |  |
|  | Continue to develop interpretation offer to support messages<br>around sustainable timber production and benefits of using wood<br>as a material and for carbon sequestration   |  |  |  |
| Planting improved tree<br>species and non-native<br>high value broadleaves to  | Where available, plants will be chosen from selected and improved<br>sources for timber production. This will help develop a longer-<br>term timber resource for sale or use within Westonbirt Arboretum.   |  |  |  |
| ensure future income streams and to  | Ensure the database clearly records location and details of planting stock.   |  |  |  |



| demonstrate to other<br>managers viable<br>silvicultural options  |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Ensuring adequate<br>control measures are<br>adopted to prevent<br>damage by deer, rabbits<br>and other vermin  | Vulnerable specimen trees and planted areas will be protected<br>using fencing or suitable barriers. Protection will include annual,<br>managed deer culls to take reasonable actions to keep the deer<br>pressure at a sustainable level on and near the estate, working<br>with neighbours, where possible, to deliver benefits at a landscape<br>scale.  |  |  |
|   | Grey squirrel impact monitoring will take place and lawful lethal<br>population control will be undertaken where damage is occurring<br>or expected to occur, to reduce this to tolerable levels. This will;<br>need to be carefully considered as any control will be visible to<br>public scrutiny.   |  |  |
| Social: To enhance the soc  | cial aspects of the woodland by:  |  |  |
| Managing the specimen<br>collections in silk wood to<br>the highest<br>internationally accepted<br>standards  | skilled Arborists supported by a Curator, Collection Manager,<br>Propagator and Dendrologist. In order to maintain a robust and<br>purpose-built recording system, Iris BG is a plant records database<br>that provides essential information on individual specimens<br>including location, collection, propagation and planting history as<br>well as any tree safety information on those that pose a higher<br>than normal risk to Arboretum users. This database is managed by<br>a Plant Records Officer. |  |  |
|   | The high standards adopted at The National Arboretum are already recognised through accreditation schemes such as Arb Net.  |  |  |
|   | improve skills and knowledge at practical and consulting levels.  |  |  |
| Developing the collection<br>by planting new<br>specimens to enhance<br>and add value to the<br>existing collection and<br>ensuring survival into the<br>future | <ul> <li>Annual planting programmes are delivered as a result of a strict accessions policy. This policy ensure only plants that meet</li> <li>Arboretum aims and objectives are selected, these being those plants with</li> <li>Heritage and Historical Value</li> <li>Plants of Landscape Interest</li> <li>National Collections</li> <li>Education and Learning</li> <li>Science and Research</li> <li>Conservation</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Maintaining the network<br>of rides and paths to<br>enable better access to   | The woods are well served by grass rides and tracks. These will be<br>maintained in good order, through ongoing repairs and the regular<br>cutting of grass swards.   |  |  |
| all   | Ride edge vegetation will also be cut on rotation where appropriate   |  |  |
|   | The public rights of way will be maintained open and in a safe condition.   |  |  |



|   | As part of the community woodland area we will develop a network<br>of woodchip paths to improve / encourage access into Silk Wood's<br>native woodland areas   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Managing and<br>safeguarding historic<br>features within the<br>woodland e.g. wood bank   | The wood bank should be properly mapped, and potentially<br>damaging operations identified. This feature could be opened up<br>where appropriate and used as an educational opportunity to<br>highlight the ancient history of Silk Wood.   |  |  |  |
| Maintaining the<br>landscape in a way that<br>respects and enhances<br>the Grade 1 Registered<br>Park and Garden and<br>Ancient Woodland<br>designations. | Ongoing management of The National Arboretum is guided by the<br>natural landscape and its historical importance. Maintaining the<br>picturesque landscape as emphasised by W.S.Gilpin is a key<br>obligation at Westonbirt. Maintenance of three distinct areas within<br>the arboretum boundaries, Old Arboretum, The Downs and Silk<br>Wood along with their recognised features shall continue.<br>Manage the woodland in line with the <u>Managing Ancient and Native</u><br><u>Woodland in England Practice Guide FCPG201</u> |  |  |  |
| Enabling people to play<br>an active part in the<br>management of Silk  | Use development of SW11-13 to offer more practical opportunities to people to get involved in managing / monitoring Silk Wood through volunteering  |  |  |  |
| Wood through community<br>programmes and<br>volunteering  | Existing community projects such as Community Coppice will<br>continue.<br>Continue to use Silk Wood to support the Active Forest<br>programme. Develop new community initiatives to further utilise<br>Silk Wood for wellbeing   |  |  |  |
| Providing opportunities<br>for healthy woodland<br>activities that support<br>better mental health and<br>wellbeing                                       | Sirk wood for weinbeing.  |  |  |  |
| Maintaining public safety   | Tree based safety risk management will be continued to safeguard visitors on the land and public rights of way.   |  |  |  |
| Learning: Develop learnin   | g opportunities within Silk Wood to:  |  |  |  |
| Provide better<br>accessibility   | <ul> <li>As part of SW11-13 community woodland area we will:</li> <li>develop network of woodchip paths to improve / encourage access into Silk Wood's native woodland areas</li> <li>involve more of our close neighbours and community so they feel a sense of belonging</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| Raise profile of Silk<br>Wood's native woodland<br>to give it equal status<br>with our ornamental<br>areas  | Develop a vibrant interpretation / arts offer to engage visitors with<br>Silk Wood's story in a manner that highlights the values of trees<br>and woodland to society and the environment   |  |  |  |



| Deepen people's<br>relationship with Silk<br>Wood (particularly local<br>people) to connect people<br>with the management<br>story in Silk Wood<br>Encourage and enable<br>personal / societal<br>change to promote a<br>more sustainable future | <ul> <li>Use SW11-13 to</li> <li>allow full public involvement in all stages of its development from initial planning and replanting through to ongoing care, monitoring and use</li> <li>provide long term participation opportunities that showcase the importance of woodland management</li> <li>develop a vibrant interpretation / arts offer to engage visitors with Silk Wood's story in a manner that highlights the values of trees and woodland to society and the environment</li> <li>develop specific areas in planting such as glades to facilitate broad range of activities from silent spaces to natural play</li> </ul> For more detail available in the Engagement Strategy and associated plans |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Enable other   | Take opportunities to showcase the work to other professionals via  |  |  |
| professionals to benefit<br>from our experience  | onsite visits or publications when possible   |  |  |



#### Section 7: Stakeholder Engagement

There can be a requirement on both the FC and the owner to undertake consultation/engagement. Please refer to <u>Operations Note 35</u> for further information. Use this section to identify people or organisations with an interest in your woodland and to record any engagement that you have undertaken, relative to activities identified within the plan.

| Work Proposal   | Individual/<br>Organisation  | Date<br>Contacted | Date<br>feedback<br>received | Response  | Action   |
|---|--|-------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| Forest Research<br>colleagues have been<br>closely involved in this<br>project from the outset,<br>providing valuable advice<br>and even authoring the<br>Woodland Management<br>Plan.  | Forest Research  | Ongoing           | Ongoing                      | Forest Research<br>continue to be closely<br>involved and provide<br>regular feedback.  | Continued assistance throughout<br>the planning, forestry operations,<br>restocking and monitoring.  |
| A full programme of<br>engagement and<br>interpretation has been<br>underway over the last<br>few years, designed to<br>highlight the issue, warn<br>of change, and seek<br>views. The 'Silk Wood<br>Chalara<br>Project - Communications<br>Plan', and accompanying<br>Action Plan detail how<br>this is being achieved. It<br>has led to a wide variety<br>of engagement and | <ul> <li>Westonbirt Staff</li> <li>Visitors</li> <li>Friends of<br/>Westonbirt<br/>Arboretum (FOWA)</li> <li>Local residents and<br/>neighbours</li> <li>National Arboreta<br/>Advisory Committee</li> <li>Other interested<br/>parties</li> <li>Partner<br/>organisations</li> <li>Ash Woodland<br/>Owners</li> </ul> | Ongoing           | Ongoing                      | We are continuing to<br>actively engage with a<br>wide-ranging<br>audience, from visitors<br>and the general public,<br>to fellow professionals<br>and woodland owners.<br>We are looking to<br>share our experience<br>so that others can be<br>better informed and<br>learn from our<br>experience. | <ul> <li>The Communications Plan<br/>objectives are:</li> <li>Coverage in three national<br/>publications - preferably<br/>Horticulture Weekly, Gardeners<br/>World, etc.</li> <li>Coverage in six local media<br/>outlets - preferably Points<br/>West, ITV news by the end of<br/>Autumn.</li> <li>To promote understanding of<br/>what Chalara Ash Dieback is<br/>and Westonbirt's role in<br/>research into further<br/>understanding the disease and<br/>how to manage it.</li> </ul> |



| communications such as<br>`Chalara Ash<br>Dieback in Silk Wood -<br>What you need to know'.   |                              |                |                |                          | <ul> <li>To promote understa<br/>engagement with the<br/>the landscape of Silk<br/>be changing and the<br/>behind this</li> </ul> | nding and<br>fact that<br>Wood will<br>reasons |  |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
|   |                              |                |                |                          | Denniu uns.   |  |  |
| The main stakeholder engagement will take place through the formal consultation of the Westonbirt Forest Design Plan 2020-2030, as this |                              |                |                |                          |   |  |  |
| Wood Woodland Manageme  | ent Plan is an essential com | nponent and is | referred to fo | r all aspects of managem | ent for all our woodland a  | reas. We                                       |  |
| are following the Forestry England ` <i>Engage your stakeholders: a practical guide'</i> in this respect.                               |                              |                |                |                          |   |  |  |



#### **Section 8: Monitoring**

Indicators of progress/success should be defined for each management objective and then checked at regular intervals. Other management activities could also be considered within this monitoring section. The data collected will help to evaluate progress.

| Management  | Indicator of  | Method of  | Frequency of                    | Responsibility            | Assessment Results  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| <b>Objective/Activities</b>                           | Progress/Success  | Assessment   | Assessment                      | Responsibility            | Assessment Results  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintain the woodland cover<br>and ecosystems         | Woodland recovers<br>and survives                                   | Visual over time<br>– photo records  | Annually<br>spring or<br>summer | FOWA<br>Volunteers        | By management team supported by FR  |  |  |  |  |
| Manage the woods on a cost<br>neutral basis           | Balance sheet   | Records of<br>income and<br>expenditure  | Annually                        | Management<br>team        | Self-assessed and reviewed by management  |  |  |  |  |
| Enhance the social aspects of the woodland            | Increased interest in<br>work and active<br>engagement<br>programme | Visitor surveys  | ТВС                             | Engagement<br>team        | Self-assessed and reviewed by management  |  |  |  |  |
| Develop learning<br>opportunities within Silk<br>Wood | Increased interest in<br>work and active<br>engagement<br>programme | Visitor surveys  | ТВС                             | Engagement<br>team        | Self-assessed and reviewed by management  |  |  |  |  |
| Impact of deer on regeneration                        | Deer having no<br>impact on<br>regeneration                         | FR to develop<br>assessment<br>protocol<br>including fenced<br>and unfenced<br>areas | Spring /<br>Autumn TBC          | FR and FOWA<br>Volunteers | FR to review and report<br>annually including any<br>management recommendations |  |  |  |  |
| Development of flora and fauna on new forest rides    | Increased number of<br>ride species                                 | Baseline at start<br>and annual<br>survey  | ТВС                             | FR and FOWA<br>Volunteers | FR to review and report<br>annually including any<br>management recommendations |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |                                 |                           |   |  |  |  |  |





#### UK Forestry Standard woodland plan assessment For FC office use and approval only:

| UKFS management plan criteria  | Minimum approval requirements  | Achieved | Review notes |
|--|--|----------|--------------|
| <b>Plan Objectives:</b><br>Forest management plans should state<br>the objectives of management and set<br>out how an appropriate balance<br>between social, economic,<br>environmental objectives will be<br>achieved.                                      | <ul> <li>Management plan objectives are stated.</li> <li>Consideration is given to environmental,<br/>economic and social objectives relevant to<br/>the vision for the woodland.</li> </ul>   | Yes      |              |
| Forest context and important<br>features in management strategy:<br>Forest management plans should<br>address the forest context and the<br>forest potential and demonstrate how<br>the relevant interests and issues have<br>been considered and addressed. | <ul> <li>Management intentions communicated in Sect.6 of the management plan are in line with stated objective(s) in Sect. 2.</li> <li>Management intentions should take account of:</li> <li>Relevant features and issues identified in the woodland survey (Sect. 4).</li> <li>Any potential threats to and opportunities for the woodland, as identified under woodland protection (Sect. 5).</li> <li>Relevant comments received from stakeholder engagement are documented in Sect. 7.</li> </ul> | Yes      |              |
| Identification of designations<br>within and surrounding the<br>woodland site:<br>For designated areas, e.g. National<br>Parks or SSSI, particular account is<br>taken of landscape and other  | <ul> <li>Survey information (<i>Sect. 4</i>) identifies any designations that impact on woodland management.</li> <li>Management intentions (<i>Sect. 6</i>) have taken account of any designations.</li> </ul>  | Yes      |              |



| sensitivities in the design of forests<br>and forest infrastructure.   |   |     |  |
|--|---|-----|--|
| Felling and restocking to improve<br>forest structure and diversity:<br>When planning felling and restocking,<br>the design of existing forests should be<br>re-assessed, and any necessary<br>changes made to meet UKFS<br>requirements.<br>Forests should be designed to achieve<br>a diverse structure of habitat, species<br>and age range of trees, appropriate to<br>the scale and context.<br>Forests characterised by a lack of<br>diversity, due to extensive areas of<br>even-aged trees, should be<br>progressively restructured to achieve<br>age class range. | <ul> <li>Felling and restocking proposals are consistent with UKFS design principles (for example scale and adjacency).</li> <li>Current diversity (structure, species, age structure) of the woodland has been identified through the survey (<i>Sect. 4</i>).</li> <li>Management intentions aim to improve / maintain current diversity (structure, species, and ages of trees).</li> </ul>  | Yes |  |
| <b>Consultation:</b><br>Consultation on forest management<br>plans and proposals should be carried<br>out according to forestry authority<br>procedures and, where required, the<br>Environmental Impact Assessment<br>(Forestry) Regulations.   | <ul> <li>Stakeholder consultation is in line with current FC guidance and recorded in <i>Sect.</i></li> <li><b>7</b>. The minimum requirement is for statutory consultation to take place, and this will be carried out by the Forestry Commission.</li> <li>Plan authors undertake stakeholder engagement (ref FC Ops Note 35) relevant to the context and setting of the woodland.</li> </ul> | Yes |  |
| Plan update and review:<br>Management of the forest should<br>conform to the plan, and the plan  | • A 5-year review period is stated on the 1 <sup>st</sup> page of the plan  | Yes |  |



| should be updated to ensure it is | • Sect. 8 is completed with 1 indicator of |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| current and relevant.             | success identified per management          |  |
|                                   | objective                                  |  |

| Approved in Principle  | Name (WO or FM):     | Date:    |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| This means the FC is happy with your plan; it meets UKFS requirements.             |                      |          |
| a) You can use it to support a CS-HT or other grant application.                   | Sam Negus            | 18/11/20 |
| b) You do not yet have a licence to undertake any tree felling in the plan.        |                      |          |
| Approved   | Name (AO, WO or FM): | Date:    |
| This means FC is happy with your plan; it meets UKFS requirements, and we have     | Sam Negus            | 9/12/20  |
| also approved a felling licence for any tree felling in the plan (where required). |                      |          |







|                |              | Woodland Property Name: Westonbirt Silk Wood |              |                     |                               |                   |   |          |          |                    |                       |   |     |           |                   |   |                    |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
|----------------|--------------|--|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---|----------|----------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|-----|-----------|-------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|------------------|------|-------|-----|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|
|                |              | S  | Sub-         | Cpt Reco            | ord                           |                   | Felling   |          |          |                    |                       |   |     |           |                   |   |                    |                         |      |                                       |                  | Res                         | toc   | kin  | g                |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| FC Cpt         | Silk Wd      | Area   | (Ha)         | Species             | Desig-                        | Area to be        | to be Type of than 20% of the total felling Volume Volume Volume Transformed Type 1-5 Notes |          | Notes    | Restock            | Percentage<br>of open |   | 9   | %: Per    | Spp: 9<br>centage | Species<br>of rest  | to be r<br>ock are | estocked<br>a, split by |      | Total % including<br>open space (must | Stocking Density | % Established<br>by natural |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
|                | Срг          | Gross  | Net          |                     | nacions                       | Tened (na)        | renng   | voiu     | ine, at  | MB o               | MC                    |   | con | bdlv      | = B               |   | area (iia)         | space                   | Spp. | %                                     | Spp.             | % Sp                        | op. % | Spp. | % Sp             | p. % | 5 Spp | . % | equal 100%) | (Stellis Per Hectare) | regeneration |
| <u>1</u><br>2  | a<br>a       | 0.90   | 0.77         | JL/SS/MC<br>OK/AH   | PAWS<br>TPO                   | 0.75              | CF<br>T   | JL<br>OK | SS<br>AH | MC                 |                       |   | 175 | 15        | A<br>A/B          |   | 0.75<br>N/A        | 15.0                    | OK   | 70                                    | SLI              | 15                          |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100<br>0    | 1250                  | 0            |
| 1001k          | SW1a         | 2.44   | 2.44         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW, RP&G                    | <sup>3</sup> 2.44 | Т   | AH       | POK      | MB                 |                       |   |     | 100       |                   | Silvicultural Thinning 30-40% intensity   | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001k          | SW1b         | 0.39   | 0.39         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW, RP&G                    | 0.39              | Т   | AH       | POK      | MB                 |                       |   |     | 16        |                   | Light Thin along woodland edge 20-25% intensity   | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001e          | SW2a         | 2.55   | 2.55         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW, RP&G                    | 2.55              | SF (RF)   | AH       | POK      | MB                 |                       |   |     | 140       |                   | Thin - Selective fell with small coupes   | 0.38               | 0.0                     | MB   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 50           |
| 1001e          | SW2b         | 1.05   | 1.05         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW, RP&G                    | 0.00              |   |          |          |                    |                       |   |     |           |                   | Non Intervention  | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001e          | SW2c         | 0.21   | 0.21         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW, RP&G                    | 0.21              | Т   | AH       | POK      | MB                 |                       |   |     | 8         |                   | Light Thin along woodland edge 20-25% intensity   | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001h          | SW3a         | 1.91   | 1.91         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW, RP&G                    | 5 1.91            | Т   | AH       | POK      | MB                 |                       |   |     | 85        |                   | Silvicultural Thinning 30-40% intensity   | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001h          | SW3b         | 0.85   | 0.85         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW, RP&G                    | 0.00              |   |          |          |                    |                       |   |     |           |                   | Non Intervention  | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001g          | SW4a         | 2.71   | 2.71         | AH/BI/MB            | PAWS, RP&G                    | 0.00              |   |          |          |                    |                       |   |     |           |                   | No major silvicultural works in 10 year plan  | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001g          | SW4b         | 0.24   | 0.24         | AH/BI/MB            | PAWS, RP&G                    | 6 0.24            | FO  | AH       | MB       |                    |                       |   |     | 21        |                   | Ride Widening - Woodbank open space   | 0.00               | 100.0                   |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         |                       |              |
| 1001c          | SW5a         | 1.88   | 1.88         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW                          | 1.88              | Т   | AH       | MB       |                    |                       |   |     | 125       |                   | Silvicultural Thinning 30-40% intensity   | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001c          | SW5b<br>SW5c | 1.61   | 1.61         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW                          | 1.78              | SF (RF)   | AH       | MB       |                    |                       | + |     | 164       |                   | Non Intervention<br>Thin - Selective fell with small coupes   | 0.30               | 0.0                     | MB   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      | +     | +   | 100         | 1100                  | 50           |
| 1001c          | SW5d         | 0.49   | 0.49         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW                          | 0.49              | T   | AH       | MB       |                    |                       |   |     | 27        |                   | Light Thin along woodland edge 20-25% intensity   | N/A                | 100.0                   |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         |                       |              |
| 1001c          | SW6a         | 1.73   | 1.73         | AH/EM/WCH/MB        | ASNW                          | 1.73              | T   | AH       | MB       |                    |                       |   |     | 80        |                   | Silvicultural Thinning 30-40% intensity   | N/A                | 100.0                   |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         |                       |              |
| 1001d          | SW6b<br>SW6c | 2.20   | 2.20         | AH/EM/WCH/MB        | ASNW                          | 2.20              | CE  | AH       | MB       |                    |                       | _ |     | 85<br>450 |                   | Light Thin along woodland edge 20-25% intensity<br>Clearfell and Replant                            | N/A<br>1.58        | 0.0                     | MB   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      | _     |     | 100         | 1100                  | 50           |
| 1001d          | SW7a         | 1.39   | 1.39         | AH/MB               | ASNW                          | 1.39              | T   | AH       | MB       |                    |                       |   |     | 65        |                   | Silvicultural Thinning 30-40% intensity   | N/A                | 010                     |      | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 50           |
| 1001f<br>1001f | SW7b<br>SW7c | 1.46<br>0.54                                 | 1.46<br>0.54 | AH/MB<br>AH/MB      | ASNW                          | 1.46              | CF<br>FO  | AH       | MB       |                    |                       | - |     | 190<br>70 |                   | Clearfell and Replant<br>Ride Widening - Woodbank open space  | 1.46               | 0.0 100.0               | MB   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      | _     |     | 100         | 1100                  | 50           |
| 1001n          | SW8a         | 1.24   | 1.24         | AH/MB               | ASNW, RP&G                    | 1.24              | Т   | AH       | MB       |                    |                       |   |     | 50        |                   | Silvicultural Thinning 30-40% intensity   | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001n          | SW8b         | 0.46   | 0.46         | AH/MB               | ASNW, RP&G                    | 0.46              | SF (RF)   | AH       | MB       |                    |                       |   |     | 24        |                   | Regeneration Felling  | 0.10               | 0.0                     | MB   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 100          |
| 1001y          | SW9          | 0.39   | 0.39         | AH/OK/MB            | ASNW, RP&G                    | 0.39              | SF (RF)   | AH       | MB       |                    |                       |   |     | 40        |                   | Thin - Selective fell with small coupes   | 0.10               | 0.0                     | MB   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 50           |
| 1001q          | SW10         | 1.24   | 1.24         | AH/OK/MB            | ASNW:PAWS<br>(50:50),<br>PP&G | 5 1.24            | т   | AH       | мв       |                    |                       |   |     | 70        |                   | Silvicultural Thinning 30-40% intensity   | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001i          | SW11a        | 1.23   | 1.23         | AH/POK/MB           | PAWS, RP&G                    | 1.23              | т   | AH       | MB       |                    |                       |   |     | 45        |                   | Silvicultural Thinning 30-40% intensity   | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001i          | SW11b        | 1.36   | 1.36         | AH/POK/MB           | PAWS, RP&G                    | 1.36              | CF  | AH       | мв       |                    |                       |   |     | 300       |                   | Closeful and Roolant  | 1.36               | 0.0                     | МВ   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 50           |
| 1001z          | SW12         | 0.20   | 0.20         | AH/OK/MB            | PAWS, RP&G                    | 0.20              | CF  | AH       | мв       |                    |                       |   |     | 60        |                   |   | 0.20               | 0.0                     | МВ   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 50           |
| 10010          | SW13         | 1.61   | 1.61         | AH/POK/MB           | PAWS, RP&G                    | 1.61              | CF  | AH       | мв       |                    |                       |   |     | 340       |                   |   | 1.61               | 0.0                     | МВ   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 50           |
| 1001w          | SW14         | 0.53   | 0.53         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW:PAWS<br>(50:50),         | 0.53              | CF  | AH       | мв       |                    |                       |   |     | 110       |                   | Clearfell and Replant   | 0.53               | 0.0                     | мв   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 50           |
| 1001s          | SW15         | 1.01   | 1.01         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW:PAWS<br>(50:50),         | 5 1.01            | SF (RF)   | AH       | мв       |                    |                       |   |     | 65        |                   | Recenter and Repairs  | 1.01               | 0.0                     | мв   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 100          |
| 1001m          | SW16         | 1.71   | 1.71         | AH/POK/MB           | PAWS, RP&G                    | 1.71              | SF (RF)   | AH       | МВ       |                    |                       |   | 1   | 110       | 1                 | Thin - Selective fell with small courses  | 0.25               | 0.0                     | МВ   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 50           |
| 1001v          | SW17         | 0.58   | 0.58         | AH/POK/MB           | PAWS, RP&G                    | 0.58              | SF (RF)   | AH       | МВ       |                    |                       |   |     | 40        |                   | Pagaparatian Folling  | 0.10               | 0.0                     | мв   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 100          |
| 1001t          | SW18         | 0.95   | 0.95         | AH/POK/MB           | PAWS, RP&G                    | 0.95              | SF (RF)   | AH       | МВ       |                    |                       |   |     | 70        |                   | Regeneration Felling  | 0.14               | 0.0                     | мв   | 100                                   |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 100          |
| 1003c          | SW19         | 4.71   | 4.71         | AH/POK/MB           | PAWS, RP&G                    | 0.00              | . ,   |          |          |                    |                       |   |     |           |                   | Ne maior citricultural works in 10 year alan  | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1003d          | SW20         | 2.98   | 2.98         | AH/POK/MB           | PAWS, RP&G                    | 0.00              |   | 1        | 1        |                    |                       | - |     |           |                   | No major silvicultural works III 10 year plat   | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       | 1    |                  |      | 1     |     | 1           |                       |              |
| 1003b          | SW21         | 5.20   | 5.20         | AH/POK/MB           | PAWS, RP&G                    | 0.00              |   | 1        | 1        |                    |                       | - |     |           |                   | No major silvicultural works in 10 year plan  | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       | 1    |                  |      | 1     |     | 1           |                       |              |
| 1003e          | SW22         | 2.05   | 2.05         | AH/POK/MB           | PAWS, RP&G                    | 0.00              | 1   | 1        | +        |                    |                       | - | 1   |           | 1                 | no major silvicultural works in 10 year plan  | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     |             |                       |              |
| 1001           | SW23         | 1.72   | 1.72         | AH/POK/MB           | ASNW:PAWS                     | 0.00              | 1   | 1        | +        |                    |                       |   |     |           |                   | No major silvicultural works in 10 year plan  | N/A                |                         | -    |                                       |                  |                             |       | 1    |                  |      | -     | +   |             |                       |              |
| 10000          | CIVIC 1      | 2.04   | 2.01         | MD /MC              | (50:50),<br>RP&G              | 0.00              | <b> </b>  |          |          |                    |                       |   |     |           | ļ                 | No major silvicultural works in 10 year plan  | N/A                |                         |      |                                       |                  |                             |       |      |                  |      |       |     | ļ           |                       |              |
| 1003f<br>1005e | 5W24<br>B1   | 2.04   | 2.04         | MB/MC<br>HAZ/POK/MB | ASNW, RP&G                    | 0.00              | FC  | HA7      | MR       |                    |                       | + |     | 5         |                   | No major silvicultural works in 10 year plan<br>Coppice - nominal figure as HAZ would not require a | N/A<br>0.73        |                         | HA7  | 90                                    | MR               | 10                          |       | +    |                  |      | +     | -   | 100         | 1100                  | 100          |
| 1005b          | B2           | 0.78   | 0.78         | HAZ/POK/MB          | ASNW, RP&G                    | 0.78              | FC  | μ        | мв       |                    |                       | - |     | 5         |                   | felling licence - vol to cover other trees<br>Coppice - nominal figure as HAZ would not require a   | 0.78               |                         | HA7  | 00                                    | мв               | 10                          |       | -    |                  |      | -     | -   | 100         | 1100                  | 100          |
| 1005a          | B3           | 0.81   | 0.81         | HAZ/POK/MB          | ASNW, RP&G                    | 0.81              | FC  |          | MD       | $\left  - \right $ |                       | + |     | 5         |                   | felling licence - vol to cover other trees<br>Coppice - nominal figure as HAZ would not require a   | 0.81               |                         |      | 30                                    | MP               | 10                          |       |      | $\left  \right $ |      | +     | +   | 100         | 1100                  | 100          |
| 1005d          | B4           | 0.75   | 0.75         | HAZ/POK/MB          | ASNW, RP&G                    | 0.75              |   | INAZ     | I''ID    |                    |                       | _ |     | 5         |                   | felling licence - vol to cover other trees<br>Coppice - nominal figure as HAZ would not require a   | 0.75               |                         | IIAZ | 30                                    | ITID<br>MD       | 10                          | _     | -    | $\left  \right $ |      | _     | _   | 100         | 1100                  | 100          |
| 10000          | 54           | 5.75   | 5.75         |                     | ,                             | 0.75              | FC  | HAZ      | MB       |                    |                       |   |     | 5         |                   | felling licence - vol to cover other trees  | 0.75               |                         | HAZ  | 90                                    | МΒ               | 10                          |       |      |                  |      |       |     | 100         | 1100                  | 100          |

# Certificate of Approval for Tree Felling

This is to certify that tree felling under

Forest Plan ref. 1171-Westonbirt Arboretum – Silk Wood

has been approved by the Forestry Commission as being in accordance with Government policy for the sound management of a renewable resource.

This certificate is valid only for the period of the felling approval.

| Signed | Funday                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 0      | Forestry Commission Officer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | 9th December 2020           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date   |                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



# **Coppice Restoration &**

# **Management Plan for**

# Silk Wood,

# Westonbirt Arboretum.



# **Coppice Management Plan for Silk Wood**

## **Contents**

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Objectives
- 3. Background
- 4. The current situation
- 5. The future
- 6. Coupes/Rotations
- Appendix 1: 150 year objectives for major woody species.
  - A. Standards.
  - B. Other.
- Appendix 2: Coppice coupe cutting years
- Appendix 3: Current condition and future management needs by coupe
- Appendix 4: Stool management
- Appendix 5: Bramble control
- Appendix 6: Deer control
- Appendix 7: Chalara dieback of ash Hymenoscyphus fraxinus
- Appendix 8: Layering and stooling techniques
- **Appendix 9: Productivity**
- Appendix 10: Flora and fauna recording/monitoring
- Appendix 11: Old coupe maps

# Introduction

The overall Arboretum Management (Forest Design) Plan 2011 requires some forty five acres (18 hectares) of Silk Wood to be brought into coppice management. This coppice needs to be economically self-sustaining and, in the early 21<sup>st</sup> Century, this looks best achieved by short rotation (6-8 year) hazel and 15 – 20 year fuelwood coppice.

Other arboretum objectives require that the short rotation hazel is managed as coppicewith-standards rather than simple coppice. This is principally the need to fit with the picturesque landscape style where some over-storey is helpful in providing transition from the Downs and open areas, also the desire to produce some large dimension timber for use in arboretum buildings and structures.

# **Objectives**

The coppice restoration programme has a number of objectives. These are interdependent and their various needs have to be balanced within the plan. However, economic sustainability is key, at least for the people who work the coppice - 'a wood that pays is a wood that stays'.

- 1. Economic to provide a sufficient income for the number of workers needed to manage the coppiced areas.
- 2. Landscape to provide continuity with the traditional landscape.
- 3. Biodiversity to support the rich flora and fauna associated with coppice-with standards.
- 4. Heritage to provide opportunities for the interpretation of the historical forms of management practiced in Silk Wood.
- 5. Training to provide the venue and materials for training in coppicing and related woodland crafts.
- 6. Community to provide meaningful activities for groups engaged in the arboretum's community programme which aims to build participants' self-reliance and esteem.

## **Background**

Mitchell (1968, Westonbirt in Colour, Forestry Commission Guide, HMSO, ISBN 9780117100268) reported records of coppicing in Silk Wood dating back to 1292, although his source cannot currently be found.

Records that *are* available from the early 19<sup>th</sup> century suggest that the understory was cut on an approximately 18 year rotation. This seems indicative of a system producing a mixed resource: tan bark, small constructional material and fuel.

Silk Wood Coppice Management Plan 2018

Coppicing is said to have ceased in Silk Wood in the 1930's. This seems unusual as the demands of the Second World War meant that a majority of woodlands were actively cut at some point during the forties and early fifties, and that there was an abrupt cessation of many types of woodland management around this time. Further research would be useful to confirm the historical background of the site.

What is certain is that in 1979 the Forestry Commission and the Gloucestershire Trust for Nature Conservation began re-cutting some of the derelict hazel on a ten year cycle. Part of this was in the south western corner of the Arboretum, part on the bank to the west of Skilling gate (*J E J White, Hazel Coppice at Westonbirt, 1981. ISBN 0 85538 094 2. Westonbirt Arboretum Leaflet No. 2.*). It is not known for how long this continued.

At the beginning of the 1990's, Ralph Hardy recommenced cutting along the bank to the west of Skilling Gate and continued up until about the year 2000. No standards were removed nor hazel restocked during this period.

In 2002 Phil Hinton recommenced cutting on Ralph Hardy's 4 and was joined in 2003 by Brian Williamson. Some restocking of the hazel was undertaken by layering (and occasionally planting) and thinning of the standards commenced in 2005/6. The length of rotation is now either six or seven years, but is expected to stabilise at seven years.

In addition to the above, two separate blocks over towards Waste Drive have been cut, partially thinned, but not restocked at all. These have now been taken out of coppice management.

## The current situation (as at winter 2017/18)

Due to the decline and eventual cessation of cutting in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, the (predominately) oak over-storey had aged and grown up to form an almost closed canopy. Its age structure is very even (most trees seem to be around 130/150 years old) with virtually no young specimens and an increasing number of moribund ones.

Hazel growth has suffered because of the heavy shade and an indeterminable number of stools will have died and vanished for the same reason.

Layering has started to improve the hazel density, though much remains to be done. Thinning of the oaks has admitted more light, leading to an improvement in quality of the hazel re-growth but also a rapid expansion of bramble. Recruitment of oak is taking place through natural regeneration (there was a very good acorn year in 2007 and another in 2017) and some scattered replanting (probably around 15 – 20 whips in total). The natural regeneration does not seem to be producing oak of good quality, however.

Whilst the bramble is something of a problem at this stage of the restoration, it is expected that the increasing density of hazel will greatly reduce its impact on future management.
Late summer cutting of the bramble in the later years of the rotation (fifth and sixth) would reduce it to a residual state.

Deer browsing is an on-going problem. Not only does it affect the quality of the resulting hazel rods, but it allows the bramble to scramble up into the damaged hazel, dragging some stems down and shading the closer cropped ones. Whilst deer will browse bramble quite heavily in some circumstances, it appears that the two species present in the Arboretum (muntjac and roe) will preferentially browse the hazel and ignore the bramble. Temporary fencing has (since 2015) proved effective at controlling browsing on coupes in the first year following cutting. Some measure of protection from browsing will have to be effective if the coppice is to be viable in the long run and the form of fencing described in appendix 6 may prove to be the solution.

The STIHL Treetop Walkway opened in 2016 and 'lands' adjacent to the eastern end of rotations C and D, with the result around two thirds of all visitors to the arboretum pass by this area. Total visit numbers are around 500,000 per year. To take advantage of this the coppice compound was moved from the 'Link' path in coupe B4 to coupe C4 in order to be adjacent to the end of the walkway.

Also during the Heritage Lottery and Friends funded 'Westonbirt Project' a community activity programme was commenced and a community shelter and associated facilities (e.g. compost toilet and pizza oven) were created in the derelict coppice, coupe D1. Participants in the community programme undertake coppice activities in rotations D and E.

Chalara dieback of ash is a rapidly developing issue in the arboretum and its probable impact is discussed in appendix 7.

#### The future

To continue the restoration programme, a number of things need to happen.

- The hazel must continue to be cut (on the appropriate rotation) and restocked. Stools should be between 4 and 8 ft apart (see appendix 4 for notes on good practice). Much emphasis should be placed on the genetic quality of the restocking, hence layering and stooling from good existing stools is advised
- 2. Thinning of the oaks needs to continue to reduce canopy cover to no more than 20%
- 3. Recruitment of new standards by natural regeneration or occasional planting needs to continue until stocking densities reach those shown in appendix 1, Table A. Since there is no point in growing low grade timber, some planting of stock from a good provenance source is desirable.
- 4. Management of other woody species (ash, maple, birch, holly, spindle etc) needs to be considered on a coupe by coupe basis. Chalara resistant ash will be left where

possible, unsafe ash will be removed. Cherry is an acceptable standard as an alternate to oak, birch may be left to age 30 as a mid-storey firewood species. Other species less economically useful can be left for biodiversity or amenity (field maple, holly, spindle, dogwood etc.)

- 5. Whilst the coupe boundaries for the 'B' and 'C' rotations have been settled, those for the other rotations will need to be drawn as they are brought back in-hand (see page 7 for coupe map) and ordered into logical rotations subject to ability to work them. Coupe objectives will also need to be defined. 'B' and 'C' are productive short rotation hazel with oak (and cherry) standards. However for D and E at present the primary objective for those coupes is to support the community and volunteer programmes while active coppice workers are nearly fully occupied on rotations B and C. In D and E there may be a case for some long rotation fuelwood and, possibly, some specific wildlife management.
- 6. Individual coupe needs should be identified and reviewed on regular basis (see Appendix 2) i.e.:
  - a. increasing stool density
  - b. thinning standards
  - c. deer protection
  - d. bramble control
- 7. Review appropriate ride management for operational and visitor access.
- 8. Development/establishment of 'work stations'. The main workstation in coupe C4 at the end of the Walkway is now well established with charcoal kiln and retort and is a useful demonstration area. However, it needs a permanent shelter for workers and equipment, also a drying shelter for material to be charcoaled. Consideration will need to be given as to whether a further compound is created to serve rotations D and E or whether material is moved to the existing compound.
- 9. FC will provide a retort charcoal kiln which will be made available for use by the coppicers that hold working contracts. The coppicers will maintain the kiln.
- 10. Deer control as per appendix 6. Monitoring, fencing, shooting etc.
- 11. Bramble control is a balance of light (over-storey standards) and stool density. As density of healthy stools is increased to the optimum the over-storey can be reduced to 20% with reduced risk of bramble establishment. In cases of severe bramble it may be flailed the summer before the coupe is cut.
- 12. Propagation of new hazel stools as per appendix 4; i.e. improving both stocking density and rod quality by: layering, stooling and the planting of cuttings.

# <u>Coupes</u>

Coupe boundaries and numbering systems have changed over the years (see Appendix 11 for old maps).

Currently (2017/18), there are two distinct rotations being worked. 'B' (Bank), a linear rotation of seven coupes of 0.75ha each worked west to east and 'C' (Charcoal Kilns), a circular rotation of seven coupes of around 0.55ha each worked clockwise.



A history of cuts is at appendix 2.

Rotation 'A', two groups of four coupes, one either side of the Silk Wood barn, were cut once over the period 2004 - 2008. There was no restocking and minimal thinning of standards. There are no specific long term intentions for these coupes and they have subsequently been removed from the Management Plan.

Rotation B will have been cut three (at the least) times by the end of the winter of 2016/2017.

Rotation C had developed into something of a patchwork by the end of the winter of 2015/2016. Ongoing work is designed to bring it into the rotation shown on the map (the direction of rotation has been reversed). The two derelict areas (as of 2014/2015) on the western edge of this rotation will be included in future cutting.

The community shelter is located on edge of rotation D adjacent also to rotation E:

Rotation 'D' (sometimes known as Derelict) near the junction of Green Lane and Willesley Drive had a first cut from derelict between 2010 and 2015. Some standards were thinned and a moderate amount of restocking of hazel undertaken. It is hoped to re-start cutting around 2017 and that this will be short rotation hazel.

Rotation 'E', running parallel with Willesley Drive from sand earth is still largely in its derelict state. Two coupes E7 and D3 were cut (probably in 2007/8). Some thinning of standards was undertaken but no restocking. There is severe bramble growth. This is possibility for a long-rotation fuelwood coppice.

Coupes are currently arranged to be contiguous where possible. This has two major advantages:

- 1. For wildlife, it enables less mobile species to follow the coppice cycle more readily.
- 2. For coppice quality, it reduces the edge effect, minimising shading and reducing the pressure of deer browse at the edge.

They have also been laid out between existing rides/pathways to give deer maximum visual exposure to human activity.

## Appendix 1: 150 year major woody species management objectives

|      | No. standards (by age class) per hectare |        |         |         |             |              |              |       |
|------|--|--------|---------|---------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Year |  | 0 - 25 | 25 - 50 | 50 - 75 | 75 –<br>100 | 100 –<br>125 | 125 -<br>150 | 150 + |
|      | 2000                                     | 2      |         |         |             |              | 25+          | 2     |
|      | 2025                                     | 20 +   |         |         |             |              | 8            | 8     |
|      | 2050                                     | 20 +   | 6       |         |             |              |              | 6     |
|      | 2075                                     | 20 +   | 6       | 6       |             |              |              | 6     |
|      | 2100                                     | 20 +   | 6       | 4       | 2           |              |              | 4     |
|      | 2125                                     | 20 +   | 4       | 4       | 2           | 2            |              | 2     |
|      | 2150                                     | 20 +   | 4       | 2       | 2           | 2            | 2            | 2     |

Table A. (guidelines for restocking the coupes with standards\* - at 25 year intervals)

\*standards (in this case) will be a mix of oak, ash and cherry in an approximate 2:1:1 ratio. Note; with the probable demise of most, if not all, of the ash due to Chalara, this mix of standards will need reviewing when the full impact of the disease is known.

Canopy areas are calculated on an average of: 1m radius for trees up to 25 years; 3m radius for trees between 25 and 75 years; 6m radius for trees older than 75 years.

|      | No. old coppice stools per hectare (age since last cut) |           |            |            |            |  |
|------|---|-----------|------------|------------|------------|--|
|      |   | 0 – 14yrs | 14 – 28yrs | 28 – 42yrs | 42 – 56yrs |  |
|      | 2000  | 20 +      |            |            | 12 +       |  |
| Year | 2014  | 20 +      | 8          |            | 6          |  |
|      | 2028  | 20 +      | 4          | 2          | 2          |  |
|      | 2042  | 20 +      | 2          | 2          | 2          |  |
|      | 2056  | 20 +      | 2          | 2          | 2          |  |

**Table B** (the following table offers guidelines for the stocking of coppice stools other thanhazel (i.e. maple, holly, ash, oak etc.) per hectare.

# Appendix 2: Coppice coupe cutting years

At the end of 2016/17, both the 'B' and the 'C' rotations will have reached the end of their numerical cycle (i.e. B7 and C7 will have been cut that winter).

Although the B rotation is laid out in seven coupes, the stool/rod density is still probably not sufficient to justify cutting it over seven years and, if time and labour allow, the aim should be to complete the cutting over six years. It may then be possible to allow the rotation length to move out to the full seven years, although this should be dictated by the size of the regrowth rather than the need to keep to the seven-year boundaries.

The recent management of the C coupes has been very erratic, particularly C1, C2, C3, and C4. Future work should be aimed at bringing the boundaries on the ground in line with those on the map as soon possible. The aim should be to complete the rotation in six or even five years and then to settle in to the aimed seven year rotation (as with the B coupes).

The cutting of the 'B' and 'C' coupes should be phased so that B7 is cut in the same year as C7. This will ensure that the 'C' coupes along the central ride are approximately three years behind their adjacent 'B' coupes and will assist the movement of species away from B7 when it becomes over-shaded.

The D coupes will be ready to cut again with volunteers as of the winter of 2017/18.

| Coupe No. | 1 <sup>st</sup> Cut | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cut | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Cut | 4 <sup>th</sup> Cut |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| B1a       | Uncut               |                     |                     |                     |
| B1        | Early 1990's        | 2002/3              | 2009/10             | 2017/18             |
| B2        | Early 1990's        | 2003/4              | 2010/11             |                     |
| B3        | Early/mid '90's     | 2004/5              | 2011/12             |                     |
| B4a       | Uncut               | 2005/6*             | 2012/13*            |                     |
| B4        | Mid '90's           | 2005/6,6/7          | 2013/14             |                     |
| B5        | Mid/late '90's      | 2005/6,6/7          | 2014/15             |                     |
| B6        | Late '90's          | 2007/8              | 2015/16             |                     |
| B7        | Late '90's          | 2008/9              | 2016/17             |                     |

### **B** 'Bank' coupes

The precise history of cutting on the bank coupes is uncertain before 2002/3. Ralph Hardy would have cut along the bank at least once during the nineties and it is possible that some of his coupes had more than one cut during that time. What is certain is that no thinning of standards or restocking of hazel was undertaken then.

In 1979, the Forestry Commission, in conjunction with the Gloucestershire Trust for Nature Conservation, started cutting some coppice to the west of Skilling Gate on a ten-year

rotation. It is not known how long this continued or how far along the bank it extended. There must, though, have been some kind of an overlap, both spatially and temporally, between this and Ralph Hardy's cutting.

| Coupe No. | 1 <sup>st</sup> Cut | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cut | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Cut | 4 <sup>th</sup> Cut |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|           |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|           |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|           |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|           |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|           |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|           |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|           |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|           |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|           |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|           |                     |                     |                     |                     |

#### C 'Charcoal kiln' coupes

# Appendix 3: (as at winter 2017/18) Current condition and future management needs by coupe

Condition scores assessed April 2017: A (excellent), B (good), C (recovering) to D (derelict)

Across all coupes the aim is to achieve hazel stool density of approx 2000 quality stools per hectare i.e. 4'-8' spacing. All coupes require some improvement in this regard so this prescription is not repeated in the table below.

| Coupe | Last year | Condition and comments for management                      | Next    |
|-------|-----------|--|---------|
|       | cut       |  | cut     |
| B1    | 17/18     | B:   | 1a      |
|       |           | Boundary of B1/B2 marked by tree 41.1008. 1a is a          | 18/19;  |
|       |           | derelict outlier at far west.                              | 24/25   |
| B2    | 11/12     | B-:  | 18/19   |
| B3    | 12/13     | B-: Dense but lots of ash, suckering aspen. Stools         | 19/20   |
|       |           | better at top, not too many standards.                     |         |
|       |           | Ancient stool arch either side of pathway on lower         |         |
|       |           | slope between B3 and B4 needs retention with               |         |
|       |           | perhaps one third of the stems cut once every three years. |         |
| B4    | 13/14     | C: A 'hotch potch'! No deer protection after last cut,     | 20/21   |
|       |           | too many standards, patchy stool density. Includes old     |         |
|       |           | kiln site. Bits of B4a on west side of path are good (B)   |         |
| B5    | 14/15,    | B: Originally two coupes split north and south.            | 21/22   |
|       | middle in | Boundary is an old oak stool. Includes some 30 year        |         |
|       | 15/16     | (1980?) SOK. Tubed oak not growing. First deer             |         |
|       |           | fenced coupe. Future: remove standards in middle,          |         |
|       |           | encourage young oak to develop.                            |         |
| B6    | 15/16,    | B+: A showcase coupe! West boundary marked by              | 22/23   |
|       | bottom    | tree 30.0540. No deer damage! Good stocking                |         |
|       | extension | density. 2 x 30yr SOK. Future: need to create some         |         |
|       | 16/17,    | more canopy gaps, one oak with red cross to remove.        |         |
|       | and small |  |         |
|       | middle    |  |         |
|       | area      |  |         |
|       | 1//18     |  | <u></u> |
| В/    | 16/1/     | C: Lots of ash, gaps due to ash; hazel layers won't fill   | 23/24   |
|       |           | all gaps. Some asn left and P1980s? SUK seem good.         |         |
|       |           | Future: Layer in 2017, take some more oak standards.       |         |
|       |           |  |         |

#### Rotation B: coupes worked west to east

#### Rotation C: worked clockwise

| Coupe | Last vear | Condition and comments for management                    |        |  |  |
|-------|-----------|--|--------|--|--|
|       | cut       |  | cut    |  |  |
| C1    | 1950s     | D: Derelict prior to 17/18 cut, big stools, gaps and too | 18/19. |  |  |
|       | and       | many standards. Future: cut remaining derelict area in   | 19/20  |  |  |
|       | 17/18     | west in three sections east to west (Phil Hinton?)       | -, -   |  |  |
| C2    | 12/13     | C: West section cut 17/18. Bramble issue, too many       | 18/19  |  |  |
|       | and       | standards. Wild cherry to west of cut through path.      | ·      |  |  |
|       | 17/18     | Future: flail bramble summer before cut, remove oak      |        |  |  |
|       |           | standards  |        |  |  |
| C3    | 13/14     | B-: some bramble but ok. Some cherry present. Too        | 18/19  |  |  |
|       | north;    | many standards, ash and birch. Future: encourage         | and    |  |  |
|       | 15/16     | cherry. Fill remaining gaps with hazel. Remove oak       | 19/20  |  |  |
|       | south     | standards esp from belt in middle.                       |        |  |  |
| C4    | 15/16     | B: Includes kiln and demo/work site. West boundary       | 19/20  |  |  |
|       | east;     | in line with tree 30.0558. Hazel close layered, some     | and    |  |  |
|       | approx.   | ash. Includes birch and oak, also patch of wold cherry.  | 20/21  |  |  |
|       | 2012      | Future: cut one block 19/20 and reduce oak and birch.    |        |  |  |
|       | west      |  |        |  |  |
| C5    | 15/16     | B+ in north, B_ in south as gappier stools. Some         | 22/23  |  |  |
|       | north;    | standards in middle. Future: remove standards.           |        |  |  |
|       | 16/17     |  |        |  |  |
|       | south     |  |        |  |  |
| C6    | 16/17     | B: Lots of small hazel stools                            | 23/24  |  |  |
| C7    | Cut east  | C+: Adjacent to 'tipi town' den building area. Too       | 24/25  |  |  |
|       | to west   | many standards to south. Future: needs layering after    |        |  |  |
|       | in three: | current and next cuts.                                   |        |  |  |
|       | 15/16;    |  |        |  |  |
|       | 16/17;    |  |        |  |  |
|       | 17/18     |  |        |  |  |

Currently working through coupes in 6 years not entirely aligned to boundaries.

# Appendix 4: Stool management

New stools produced by layering are better able to compete with established stools than those from the cuttings from stooling or the planting of bare rooted whips. Layering can be used to establish new stools between relatively close spaced existing coppice stools, whereas cuttings or planting are best suited to filling in more open areas where their neighbours will be either other cuttings or new layerings.

It should be noted that the use of layering or of cuttings from a stooling operation gives an opportunity to improve the quality of the coppice as well as the quantity. The planting of whips, whilst probably the quickest way to improve the stocking density, offers no such opportunity. Indeed, the contrary is often the case, with the whips producing hazel that doesn't grow good rods.

It is worth considering the quality of potential rods at some length. For virtually every purpose, the rods need to be as straight and as knot free as possible and as durable as they can be. Whilst hazel cannot be defined as a durable wood in any way, there are differences between the wood from different stools and even a small gain in durability can be noticeable in products for the garden. In situations where the wood is to be worked (i.e. split and twisted as in hurdles or thatching spars) pliability and ease or riving are also important. The quality of rods from an individual stool can best be established by working them, but there are strong visual clues that can be utilised as well. Straightness of form is fairly obvious to even the untrained eye, with a strong apical but reducing the effect of side branching. Bark colour is also very significant. There is very strong anecdotal evidence to suggest that rods with silver/grey barks will work much better than those with reddish/purple barks. The browner and greener barks seem to fall somewhere in between.

When establishing new stools by layering, the existing stools will predetermine the spacing, with 6' (1.8m) being the minimum. When planting into larger clear areas, however, all new plants should be put in at 4' (1.2m) spacings.

The aim should be to produce 12,000 usable rods per acre as quickly as possible. The number of stools per acre is often quoted as the desirable reference figure, but it is of little use unless the number of rods per stool is also known. The good quality copses along the South Downs may have less than four hundred stools per acre, but with thirty or forty usable rods per stool, they are able to produce excellent quality coppice. In Silk Wood, very few of the old stools are producing as many as twenty rods each when first cut, and the newly established ones many fewer. To produce 12,000 rods initially, therefore, it will be necessary to establish many more stools, probably around 800 – 1000 per acre in most coupes. As the coppice matures, the weaker of these stools will be out-competed and, over the coming decades, the number of stools will start to drop as the number of rods that they produce increases.

Hazel should be cut as low as possible not only to encourage straight regrowth, but also to encourage the development of new roots from the base of the new shoots. 2" above ground should be the maximum target height. This may take more than one rotation to achieve on all stools, but once achieved it should be straightforward to maintain. Initially, the goal

should be to ensure that all new stools are cut low. If a certain number (20? 40?) of the bigger stools are also cut down to ground level at each rotation, then the ultimate goal will eventually be attained.

Old hazel stools (40 years+) may not be sufficiently vigorous to respond well to coppicing. Lack of vigour is usually indicated by a lack of sunshoots at the base. In these cases it may be worth cutting the stool very high (2' - 3') initially, to aid the formation of new shoots. The height of the stool can then be reduced at subsequent rotations.

#### Other species (maple, holly, ash, oak etc).

Numbers for these species are set out in Appendix 1, Table B. Care should be exercised with the numbers of these, as holly and maple in particular will cast a heavy shade and will affect the quality of the hazel. The current recommendations (2010) may need to be amended as the coppice programme proceeds. Holly would appear to be spreading through woodlands generally, and its propensity to self-layer as well as to spread by seed means that it needs to be carefully controlled.

Heights of stools for these species will be largely determined by their existing height. Oak coppice stools in Silk Wood are often 3' or so tall, and ash and maple commonly 18" to 2'. Holly is not common as old coppice stools, but it seems to respond well to being cut low as a young tree.

The reasons for the height of old stools (other than hazel) are unclear. Being cut for larger material than hazel, the increased height may have aided felling by axe. It may also have raised vulnerable young bark (particularly with ash) above the comfortable height of debarking by voles. It may simply have been upwards 'creep' over centuries of management.

# **Appendix 5: Bramble control**

Bramble is found throughout the derelict coppice in Silk Wood, but the heavy shade cast by the combination of overstood coppice and closed-canopy oak standards means that it is fairly suppressed. Removal of the shade, however, encourages an explosion of growth, both through tip-layering and through the establishment of young seedlings.

In the long term, the re-establishment of a closed hazel canopy will bring the bramble back to something approaching its original state, but in the medium term it will be a very significant hindrance to coppicing and management may be necessary.

The best way to control bramble is by summer cutting, ideally during August or early September. The check to growth caused by cutting at the end of its extended growing period minimises regrowth, reduces the amount of tip-layering to almost zero and should remove that year's seed source (blackberries) before they can ripen. It has the additional effect of delaying regrowth until the autumn/early winter when it should appear more palatable to deer at a time when other foliage is browning/falling.

Winter cutting, by contrast, whilst it will remove the above-ground growth, is effectively coppicing the plant and encourages extensive growth the following year.

Where resources allow, derelict coupes should be bramble cut for two summers prior to being coppiced. Coupes in the early stages of restoration will benefit from being bramble cut for one or two summers after each coppice cut. In well-established coupes, the shade produced by a closed hazel canopy should all but eradicate the bramble. It will be important for bramble control as well as rod quality that deer are excluded from the coppice during the first season's regrowth.

Volunteers are a particularly useful labour resource for bramble control.

Ride edges should be cut (where resources allow) in the winter of coppicing and for two subsequent summers. The extra light available at the ride edges will encourage the growth of bramble and hence its spread back into the coupes.

## **Appendix 6: Deer control**

Deer browsing is extremely damaging to coppice regrowth. At its worst, heavy browsing over two or three consecutive seasons will kill the stools. Under lesser pressures, it will deform the rods and allow bramble to grow up through the stools, pulling them over and further degrading them.

In Westonbirt, in the first part of the 21<sup>st</sup> C., there are only two resident species, roe and muntjac. Whilst the degree of culling being undertaken means that browsing pressures are not as high as in surrounding areas, it has still been sufficient to reduce the regrowth from every single stool in all the coupes cut to no more than eighteen inches high in the first year.

In most cases, the hazel then gets away through the bramble in the second year, but the result is that almost all the rods are either dog-legged or bark-damaged (and in most instances, both) as a result of browsing and then further deformed as a result of the bramble growing up through them and dragging them down.

The result is low-quality rods and an infestation of bramble to work through when the coppice is due to be recut.

In the summer of 2015, three trial plots were fenced with a double row of 1m high plastic fencing topped with security tape. It is known that deer are reluctant to jump into small enclosures and this double row of netting attempted to simulate a small enclosure. As of Christmas 2015, all three of these plots seemed to have been successful. There were some incursions into the plot in the 'D' rotation but these were halted early on.

The intention is for these fences to be up for about six months, being erected from June onwards and taken down the around December/January. One good season's growth should take the young hazel above the browsing height of both muntjac and roe.

In the summer of 2016, a further three coupes were fenced. Again, there was a minor incursion into one plot but the other two were untouched. Regrowth was very impressive with the hazel getting well clear of the bramble. There was no detectable damage to the second year growth in the first three plots.

In the summer of 2017, another three areas were fenced. Once again protection has been almost 100% with only one minor incursion, possibly by a deer panicked by humans/dogs given the damage to the netting itself. There does, however, seem to be some minor damage to the bottom of the netting, most probably by rabbit but possibly by badgers.

Exclusion of the deer has made a dramatic difference. The quality of the hazel will be much improved at its next cut but, more significantly, with the hazel getting above the bramble in year one, there should also be a significant reduction in the bramble problem.

In the short term (say six years?) it is important that this method of deer control is maintained. Thereafter, it is possible (but only possible) that the increased area of hazel being cut; the reduction in bramble cover and the increased disturbance by humans and dogs will mean that browsing damage is spread thinly enough around the coppice to be at an acceptable level if fencing is not continued. It is the author's opinion, though, that this will not happen and the deer would simply move in and preferentially browse the hazel over other alternatives.

## Appendix 7: Chalara dieback of ash

As at the winter of 2016/17, Chalara is well established in the arboretum and is particularly evident within the young coppice stands. It is likely to progress steadily into the larger trees.

Coppice within existing coupes should be managed as normal, but the expectation must be that most small ash stools will have died by the time that they are recut and that more hazel will need to be layered in to fill the gaps.

Pole stage ash should be cut to favour any specimens **not** yet showing signs of the disease. At this early stage it is probable that many of those not yet showing these signs will subsequently do so, but it may be possible to preserve the occasional specimen that does have resistance. A decision can be made at a later date (when they start having a pronounced shade effect on the coppice) as to whether to promote them to standards.

Standard ash should continue to be thinned with the quality of the coppice in mind rather than the survival of the trees.

Ash is a tree that is abundant in Silk Wood. If disease resistant trees are going to be found there will be plenty without the coppice coupes. There should be no need to deliberately favour them within the coppice coupes.

## **Appendix 8: Layering and stooling techniques**

Being authored by Brian Williamson for the National Coppice Federation.

# Appendix 9: Productivity

### <u>Rods</u>

Hazel coppice falls into four Grades. Grade 1 produces 12,000 plus usable rods per acre; Grade 2, 8000 rods; Grade 3, 4000 rods and Grade 4 less than 4000 rods. In practice, Grade 4 is derelict coppice and typically would produce many fewer than 4000 rods. Grade 3 is only found in coppice restoration sites. Grades 2 and 1 would be described as working coppice, but Grade 2 would barely be economically viable and would need improving.

The intention in Silk Wood is to bring it to Grade 1 as quickly as possible. The target stool stocking density of between 1.2m and 2.4m could produce as many as 800 – 1000 stools per acre. These would need to support 12 – 15 usable rods each to reach the 12,000 rod target.

As the stools mature the numbers of rods they can support will increase and the total number of rods per acre may climb well above 12,000. Eventually, the coppice will become overstocked and some stools will be out-competed and will die. The best coppices in Hampshire and Dorset may have as few as two or three hundred stools per acre, but these will be supporting dozens of rods each.

This 'maturing' phase of the coppice will offer a second opportunity to improve the overall quality of the hazel. The poorer quality stools can be selected out, allowing the better quality ones to fill their space. This can be easily achieved by cutting the superfluous stools in the late summer of their second or third year of regrowth. The combined effects of deer browsing and shading from their neighbours should be enough to kill them off.

### Products

To be economically viable, use must be made of every bit of material available. It should be possible to use virtually everything that comes off a coppice. Possible products include:

Beanpoles and peasticks; hedging stakes and binders; hurdles; thatching spars/gads; strawbale building rods; Morris dancing staves; walking sticks. Brash can be incorporated into faggots. Charcoal and firewood can be produced from the early stages of restoration and from the thinning of the standards.

Shingles, laths, post and rail fencing and gates can be made from the better quality cleaving oak removed when thinning the canopy. Other oak butts can be sold-on for milling.

# Appendix 10: Flora/fauna monitoring

In 2017 spreading bellflower (*Campanula patula*) was present on the eastern edge of coupe C5, but there is expectation it should respond and show in other coupes subject to cutting and weather patterns.

Following establishment of flora and fauna volunteer groups as part of the Downs restoration it is expected that now the restoration has been secured the flora volunteers in particular will undertake flora surveys in Silk Wood, including of the coppice areas.

Brian Williamson holds a flora species list on two transects through rotation D.

## Appendix 11: Old coupe maps

1. 2009 -2015.



2. Pre-2009.



# About the arboretum

Westonbirt, The National Arboretum was founded in the early 19th Century by Robert Holford, a plant collector and visionary who created one of the world's finest tree collections. In 1956, the arboretum came into the care of the Forestry Commission (now operating as Forestry England) to conserve and continue the legacy for future generations.

The Friends of Westonbirt Arboretum charity was formed in 1985. As membership has grown the charity has increasingly helped the work of the arboretum through advocacy, and financial and practical support to unlock a whole range of opportunities to further the role of Westonbirt as The National Arboretum.

Westonbirt, The National Arboretum **Tetbury GL8 8QS** westonbirt@forestryengland.uk 0300 067 4890

forestryengland.uk/westonbirt





# Our place in a changing world

The National Arboretum

the friends



Forestry ngland

# A 10-year vision for Westonbirt,

# The National Arboretum: a national treasure

Westonbirt, The National Arboretum is a remarkable place; a beautiful and inspiring landscape that people can visit to enjoy and learn about trees. The combination of maturity, species diversity and landscape style creates one of the world's most extraordinary arboreta – and provides us with an iconic status in tree and landscape heritage.

With 15,000 tree specimens, five national tree collections and seasonal activities for all, visitors can come along to Westonbirt throughout the year and be met with a whole new environment as the seasons come and go. There's always something new to discover.

Whether our visitors get involved in workshops, events and guided tours or simply wander around the arboretum, there's something to inspire and delight around every corner. And in terms of science, ours is the perfect collection to research more about our trees today as well as helping to determine what could happen in the future.

# A time to act

# 75%

of land animals and plants live in forests

# 20%

of greenhouse gas emissions comes from deforestation

119 **billion** tonnes of CO, per year are absorbed by trees and forests

We are in a time of unprecedented change and increased understanding of the need to act swiftly to address climate change. There is only one proven technology to remove carbon dioxide from the air: trees. Yet trees are also under threat from the effects of climate change and other human impacts. At the same time we have developed a greater appreciation of the wider benefits of trees, particularly for well-being.

Andrew Smith Forestry England Arboretum Director

# 50%

of deforestation is caused by farming, livestock, mining and drilling

60,065

global tree

species

# 10.000 global tree species are thought to be threatened with extinction

As we look forward to the bicentenary of the arboretum in 2029, we set out what we need to achieve over the next decade to confront the challenges our trees face and the importance of connecting people with trees in our changing world. Through realising our 10-year vision for Westonbirt, we are confident the arboretum will continue to flourish and be better able to play its part in tackling these global challenges.

#### **Mike Mintram**

Friends of Westonbirt Arboretum Chairman



"From tackling poverty and hunger to mitigating climate change and conserving biodiversity, the positive impacts of forests and trees are fundamental to our existence."

Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. The State of the World's Forests 2018

# Facing the future

Westonbirt is a living, evolving landscape. As we face the future and a changing world, both environmentally and socially, it's critical that we are ready to tackle challenges and harness opportunities as they arise.

- Understanding how trees will be affected by climate change, what we can do to manage our existing trees, and identifying which species will be best suited for an evolving environment will be the key to help us adapt our forests for the future.
- We will increase people's connection with nature, to help them understand the value of trees and to encourage positive action for the future.
- As well as being a national and international asset, the arboretum also plays an important role in the local economy by providing a place to work, learn and enjoy. Our financial sustainability needs to be at the core of our work.
- Together we can safeguard and enhance the arboretum for future generations while also serving the needs of people today.

# to improve the quality of life. Our vision To be a world leader in trees, inspiring people through education,

**Our mission** To connect people with trees

participation and conservation.

# The next 10 years

Our 10-year vision is centred on three key themes: conservation, education and participation. By studying and conserving our trees, sharing our knowledge and encouraging more people to engage with The National Arboretum, we can improve understanding of our natural assets, communicate the important role they play to all life on earth, and get more people benefitting from the positive impacts on health and well-being.

It's about strengthening the connections between people and trees. The next 10 years are key to making that happen.



"Our trees are becoming ever more threatened through climate change, disease and human intervention. The time to act is now - we need to address these threats." Mike Coe, CEO, Friends of Westonbirt Arboretum



infiltration of water

#### Rainfall

Trees reduce the impact of heavy rainfall, mitigating surface run-off of water into surface water courses

# Genetic

resources for medicines and other as yet unknown uses

3.8 billion tonnes of timber harvested globally every year

**Reduces flooding** and soil erosion

# **Conservation:** Protecting our trees for the future

# The challenge

The world's leading climate scientists have warned that there is only a decade left to change our behaviours and prevent a climate catastrophe. Trees will play a vital role in the solution to this challenge. At Westonbirt, within our living collection of 15,000 specimens, we have over 100 different species that are classified as threatened and in need of conservation. Unfortunately, native and non-native tree species alike may have little tolerance or resistance to fight against pests and diseases. Together with a changing climate, we anticipate threats to be evolving challenges that we will strive to overcome over the next decade.

# Our response

Westonbirt will become an internationally recognised base for scientists to improve and expand our knowledge. We will work with national and international partners to tackle the difficult global challenges our changing world poses to trees and the ecosystems they support.

By predicting what the future may hold, we will identify species adapted to climate change and determine how to protect the trees we already have. To do this we will work to improve our facilities and skills, to enable us to conserve, develop and utilise our unique collection and share our knowledge widely.

A new centre of science and learning will make use of our 'living classroom' and include flexible facilities to host this collaborative work and share the importance and wonder of trees with everyone. To allow this transformation we will look to acquire new land around Westonbirt when the opportunity arises to grow our capacity.

We will also build an understanding of the value and importance of the collection we care for, taking radical action to improve our onsite sustainability.

# **Key commitments**

- Develop our tree collection and landscape to make it more relevant to conservation and learning and growing resilience for generations to come.
- Collaborate with others to develop and communicate useful research about trees and their value to society.
- Understand the value of our natural capital to make better informed decisions.
- Become a leader in sustainability to encourage positive action.



"The world's response to climate change in terms of adaptation, mitigation and resilience must focus more on forests."

Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. The State of the World's Forests 2018



# **Key commitments**

- Inspire more people to connect with Westonbirt's heritage to empower them to make positive choices for the future.
- Enable more young people to participate actively to support our future, inspiring them to develop as future leaders.
- Encourage different perspectives to be heard and valued to foster creativity and innovation.
  Support the ongoing learning of all staff and
- volunteers to increase our effectiveness.



# Education: Sharing knowledge to create change

# The challenge

In an increasingly urban and technologically advanced society, people are becoming more and more disconnected with the natural environment. As this change happens, they start to lose appreciation of all the critical environmental and social benefits our trees offer. With this loss of appreciation people are less able to act to support the environment and trees.

# **Our response**

Westonbirt is a great place to visit – and it's the perfect setting to learn about our natural environment. By developing innovative activities and events within our new learning facilities we will challenge and inspire people to engage with our natural environment. We will lead the "Young people who spend time outdoors and forge a connection with the natural world are more likely to become environmentally-aware adults."

Botanic Gardens Educational Network

conversation about trees, their role in society and how we can all secure their future.

Our activities will be for everyone; from the youngest visitor, through all life stages to create future leaders, volunteers and members to support our cause. We will also increase our links with academic institutions, enabling them to use the arboretum to apply and translate their research in the real world and communicate this to our visitors.

Over the next decade we will also develop a visitor experience that engages through interactivity and a new focus on the arts. It's about creating exciting and enlightening experiences from which everyone, from visitors to research partners, can learn and be inspired.

# **Participation:** Connecting people with nature

# The challenge

In our changing world there is increasing concern that growing sections of society can't, or don't, access natural environments like Westonbirt. They are missing out on the social and natural benefits they provide. With strong evidence linking the benefits of contact with nature on health and well-being, this needs to be addressed. Reduced access to trees can limit society's ability to live in a sustainable way, as they will be unable to understand the future challenges we all face.

# Our response

Westonbirt has so much green space, flora and fauna, and we are perfectly placed to boost inclusive participation. We have already done so much, but we will continue to do more to improve access for those groups in most need, forging stronger links with our community partners. We will make sure that people are better able to experience our natural environment through a variety of media, connecting with our trees to improve their mental health and well-being.

Our staff and volunteers are committed to learning and sharing their knowledge with visitors, making every interaction an inspiring one by developing a broader range of talks, tours and interpretation. We will empower people to participate in our development to help shape our decisions.

We will make significant improvements to our outdoor spaces, ensuring they are designed for the needs of all. Our visitor welcome will inspire people to connect with the natural environment, understand the arboretum and join as members in lifelong support of our cause.

We will remodel and expand our central facilities including café, commercial, exhibition and natural play areas, while continuing to showcase the work of the arboretum. Through this, we will create a more immersive visitor experience.

This will promote happier, healthier lives while conserving our thriving heritage for generations to come.



"The obesity rate of children living in areas with good access to green spaces is 11-19% lower than in those who have limited or no access." Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. The State of the World's Forests 2018

# **Key commitments**

- Provide a world class and inclusive welcome to positively engage people with the arboretum.
- Raise the arboretum's profile as 'a world leader in trees' to grow support and recognition for our work and enable us to influence decision makers.
- Use the therapeutic benefits of the arboretum to improve people's physical and mental well-being.
- Grow our volunteering and membership, creating advocates for our cause.

Now is the time for Westonbirt, The National Arboretum to respond to the challenges of our changing world. We have the opportunity to demonstrate the critical connections between people and trees.

- This is our 10-year vision. It's an exciting time. Never before has the need to adapt and respond to the future been so great or your support been more vital.
- Working together we will achieve our goals to conserve Westonbirt for future generations, connect more people than ever before with trees, and also make a positive contribution to our global challenges.







# Westonbirt, The National Arboretum

# STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

# WESTONBIRT, THE HOLFORD ESTATE

#### ORIGIN

The unique significance of Westonbirt lies in it being the initial vision of Robert S Holford and its connection with the family for more than a century. Robert Holford harnessed his own talents and great wealth, the skills and abilities of his friends, advisers and staff, and several among the great age of the plant collectors, to create a garden and landscape which fused science and the arts, great architecture and inspired arboriculture on a site with soil and climate suitable for a wide diversity of exotic tree species. It is recognised in the English Heritage Register of Parks and Gardens of special historic interest at Grade 1 i.e. of "exceptional interest". The formal garden and Lewis Vulliamy's Grade 1 house and associated estate buildings are of significance in their own right.

The main house, its interiors and other estate buildings demonstrate the same passions and eye for quality, with the use of the latest technology concealed by the same picturesque eye for colour, diversity and high art. The whole remains an exemplar of the period.

#### NATIONAL ARBORETUM

Westonbirt, The National Arboretum is of international significance, comparable in importance - scientific, historic, arboricultural - with other national collections of artefacts and living organisms. Over the past half-century its already outstanding collection of trees and shrubs has been conserved, expanded and developed mainly with plants of known provenance, including special collections of particular genera. The tree collection, already well-recorded, is now continuously catalogued in exemplary fashion. It contains endangered species and cultivars, many important specimens and comprises a gene bank of world importance.

The tree collection is an essential resource in the search for new tree species suited to a changing climate or to substitute for currently used ones that prove vulnerable to new pests or diseases.

#### STYLE

The stylistic significance of Westonbirt lies in its disposition of an unmatched collection of woody plants, many unknown hitherto, within a coherent and sophisticated aesthetic design of the highest quality for the house, garden, arboretum, park and wider estate. In design

and style of planting Westonbirt is the product of a highly-talented owner, with remarkable foresight and limitless resources, strongly influenced by W.S. Gilpin, leading promoter of the concept of The Picturesque as a style of planting and laying out of grounds. Holford's distinctive version of this style was adventurous, imaginative and consistently picturesque, always arranging plants according to their visual qualities. The result is a house, garden, park and arboretum, all of great beauty as well as outstanding interest and diversity, where Holford's descendants sought to make changes consistent with his style.

The Holfords of Westonbirt Trust was established in 2006 to help ensure the long-term preservation of the Holford legacy on the school-owned part of the estate.

#### PUBLIC BENEFIT

The Arboretum contributes strongly to tourism regionally and nationally and is an important generator of local trade and visitor interest. It is enjoyed by hundreds of thousands of visitors annually, being nationally amongst the most popular destinations in its field of interest and by far the most visited in the region. It also attracts repeated visits and strong support locally, appealing to a wide range of visitors across social class and age range, including children, the elderly and the disabled. The qualities and values perceived by visitors to be most closely associated with the Arboretum are "beautiful", "calming", "interesting" and "impressive"; to a lesser extent "picturesque", "rejuvenating" and "educational". The sum total of the Arboretum's recreational and therapeutic value is unquantifiable but must be enormous. Its social benefit is further enhanced and widened by the involvement of The Friends of Westonbirt Arboretum. The charity was formed in 1985 to support the Forestry Commission in maintaining the tree collection and in increasing access by the public for a wide variety of recreation and learning activities.

Due to its modern role as a school, public access to the house and gardens has, by necessity, been limited. However, one of the key aims of the Holfords of Westonbirt Trust is to increase access, and many more people now have the opportunity to visit the gardens.

#### EDUCATION AND TRAINING

Westonbirt is an important resource for all aspects of education, not least at Westonbirt house with its garden and park, where high standard education for all ages is provided, together with cultural activities and events for a wide audience. With its rich resources - historical, arboricultural, botanical, horticultural, biological, environmental and landscape -the Arboretum has huge potential for education and training of all kinds including school-age education, lifelong learning, practical courses for amateurs, professional training, international research and conferences. It already has an experienced and effective education team. Being one of the largest of its kind, the Friends of Westonbirt Arboretum constitutes an organisation capable of playing a broad role in education and training and, through its support of management, with local involvement generally.

#### NATURE CONSERVATION

Westonbirt contains a wide range of wildlife habitat including species-rich grassland, ancient and semi-natural woodland, wetland, various managed woodland types and a huge diversity of woody plants, including many large and mature specimens. All of these elements contribute to a rich resource of wildlife habitats. The Arboretum manages its land with this in mind as do the other land owners and tenants. Wildlife contributes strongly to the appeal of the Arboretum and to its potential for imparting an understanding of environmental issues of all kinds. The Arboretum is of local importance in wildlife conservation. Historic communal use of Silk Wood is on record.

#### **OWNERSHIP**

The house, garden and part of the parkland is owned by Westonbirt School which needs to balance the aims of the school with the demands of conservation. The remainder of the park, including Home Farm, is privately owned and leased on an agricultural tenancy, the tenants needing to balance the pressures of commercial agriculture with conservation. The Arboretum, including The Downs parkland, is owned by the Forestry Commission. As well as needing to conform to the Commission's mission statement, the Arboretum constitutes an exemplar of its purposes, especially in respect of protection, diversification, public understanding, community participation, conservation of landscape and cultural heritage, recreation and economic value.

John Sales (Oct 2003)

Updated March 2011 by Simon Toomer, Arboretum Director



# Westonbirt, The National Arboretum Policy Structure for Arboretum Management

#### Mission

'To connect people with trees, to improve the quality of life'

#### Vision

'*Our Place in a Changing World*' - a 10-year vision for Westonbirt, The National Arboretum 2019-2029

#### Strategy

Strategic Operational Plan

#### Forest Design Plan

2020-2029

#### Arboretum Management & Botanical Plant Collection Development

- Accession Policy
- Acquisition Policy
- Arboricultural Impact Policy
- Avenue Management
- Collections Policy
- Planting & Establishment Plan
- Propagation Protocols
- Protection of Downland Trees
- Records Policy
- Remedial Cycle Management Plan
- Remedial Section Mapping & Labelling
- Surplus Plants Policy

#### Landscape Heritage & Style

- Arboretum Landscape Plan
- Historic Landscape Survey & Restoration Plan
- Statement of Significance

#### Woodland Management

- Woodland Management Plan for Silk Wood
- Coppice Restoration & Management Plan

# Forest Design Plan - Appendix 11

• Shelterbelt Plan (Old Arboretum)

#### **Environmental Protection**

- Biosecurity Policy
- Business Sustainability Plan
- Chemical Reduction Policy
- Conservation Management Plan to be revised with professional consultants in 2021
- Flora & Sward Management Plan
- Integrated Pest Management Strategy
- Pollution Control Plan
- Pond Management Plan
- Redundant Materials Plan
- Sustainability Action Plan
- Wildlife, Habitat & Conservation Strategy

#### Science & Research

• Research Strategy for the National Tree Collections

#### Health & Safety Management

- Chainsaw & HAVS Policy
- Child Protection Policy
- Constraints Map
- Emergency Action Plan
- First Aid Policy
- Local District Health & Safety Plan
- Site Safety Rules
- Tree Safety Management Policy

#### Recreation

- Arts strategy
- Catering Strategy
- Community Strategy
- Diversity and Inclusion Strategy
- Education Strategy
- Engagement Strategy
- Evaluation Plan
- Events Plan
- Interpretation & Arts Strategy
- Learning & Participation Strategy
- Marketing & Communication Strategy
- Play Philosophy and development Plan
- Volunteer Strategy

#### **Capital Development**

- Westonbirt Project Downland Restoration Plan
- Westonbirt Project Management & Maintenance Plan
- Westonbirt Project Monitoring & Evaluation Plan
- Westonbirt Project Site Masterplan